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### 1.1 Definition, first properties

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be any complex numbers and consider the generalised hypergeometric equation in one variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D+\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots\left(D+\alpha_{n}\right) F=\left(D+\beta_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(D+\beta_{n}-1\right) F, \quad D=z \frac{d}{d z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a Fuchsian equation of order $n$ with singularities at $0,1, \infty$. The local exponents read,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1-\beta_{1}, \ldots, 1-\beta_{n} & \text { at } z=0 \\
\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} & \text { at } z=\infty \\
0,1, \ldots, n-2,-1+\sum_{1}^{n}\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right) & \text { at } z=1
\end{array}
$$

When the $\beta_{i}$ are distinct modulo 1 a basis of solutions at $z=0$ is given by the functions

$$
z^{1-\beta_{i}}{ }_{n} F_{n-1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1}-\beta_{i}+1, \ldots, \alpha_{n}-\beta_{i}+1 \\
\beta_{1}-\beta_{i}+1, . . . . ., \beta_{n}-\beta_{i}+1
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

Here ... ${ }^{\vee}$.. denotes suppression of the term $\beta_{i}-\beta_{i}+1$ and ${ }_{n} F_{n-1}$ stands for the generalised hypergeometric function in one variable

$$
{ }_{n} F_{n-1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \\
\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{k}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(\beta_{n-1}\right)_{k} k!} z^{k} .
$$

To show that these functions are not as complicated as their definition suggests, consider for example the hypergeometric function

$$
{ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
1 / 4,1 / 2,3 / 4 \\
1 / 3,2 / 3
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 / 4)_{k}(1 / 2)_{k}(3 / 4)_{k}}{(1 / 3)_{k}(2 / 3)_{k} k!} z^{k} .
$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$
{ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
1 / 4,1 / 2,3 / 4 & \frac{256 z}{27} \\
1 / 3,2 / 3
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{4 k}{k} z^{k} .
$$

Later we shall show that this is an algebraic function (over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ ).
The most studied case of one variable hypergeometric functions is that of the Gaussian hypergeometric function, which is the case $n=2$. It was already noted by Euler that many classical functions could be recognized as hypergeometric functions for special choices of the parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}$.
At $z=1$ we have the following interesting situation.

Theorem 1.1.1 (Pochhammer) The equation (1) has $n-1$ independent holomorphic solutions near $z=1$.

The proof of this result follows from the observation that the coefficient of $\left(\frac{d}{d z}\right)^{n}$ in (1) equals $z^{n+1}-z^{n}$ and the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.2 Consider the linear differential equation

$$
p_{n}(z) y^{(n)}+p_{n-1}(z) y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{1}(z) y^{\prime}+p_{0}(z) y=0
$$

where the $p_{i}(z)$ are analytic around a point $z=a$. Suppose that $p_{n}(z)$ has a zero of order one at $z=a$. Then the differential equation has $n-1$ independent holomorphic solutions around $z=a$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $a=0$. Write $p_{i}(z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} p_{i j} z^{j}$ for every $j$. Then, in particular, $p_{n 0}=0$ and $p_{n 1} \neq 0$. we determine a power series solution $\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k} z^{k}$ by substituting it into the equation. We obtain the recursion relations,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} i!p_{i j} f_{m+i-j}\binom{m+i-j}{i}=0, \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Since $p_{n 0}=0$ we see that there is no term with $f_{m+n}$ in the above recurrence relation. However, the recurrence does express $f_{m+n-1}$ as a linear combination of the $f_{m+n-r}$ with $r \geq 2$. The coefficient of $f_{m+n-1}$ reads

$$
(m+n-1) \cdots m p_{n, 1}+(m+n-1) \cdots(m+1) p_{n-1,0} .
$$

Suppose first that $m p_{n, 1}+p_{n-1,0} \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we can choose $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-2}$ arbitrarily and use the recurrence to find $f_{m+n-1}$ for $m \geq 0$. If $m p_{n, 1}+p_{n-1,0}$ vanishes for $m=m_{0}$ say, we must impose a linear relation between the $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}$. However, we can now choose $f_{m_{0}}$ freely and we have an $n$-1-dimensional solution space again. Note that $p_{n, 1} \neq 0$ is important to get power series solutions that converge in a disc around $z=0$.
qed
Finally we mention the Euler integral for ${ }_{n} F_{n-1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} \mid z\right)$,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\beta_{i}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1}\left(1-t_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}-\alpha_{i}-1}}{\left(1-z t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_{n}}} d t_{1} \cdots d t_{n-1}
$$

for all $\Re \beta_{i}>\Re \alpha_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$. The latter condition is there to assure convergence of the integral. There is an integral representation for more general parameters if we replace the integration $\int_{0}^{1}$ by an integration $\int_{\gamma}$ where $\gamma$ is a so-called Pochhammer contour. It avoids the points 0,1 and looks like this,

Notice that the integrand acquires the same value after letting any of the $t_{i}$ run along $\gamma$.

### 1.2 Monodromy

Fix a base point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty\}$, say $z_{0}=1 / 2$. Denote by $G$ the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\right.$ $\left.\{0,1, \infty\}, z_{0}\right)$. Clearly $G$ is generated by the simple loops $g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{\infty}$ around the corresponding points together with the relation $g_{0} g_{1} g_{\infty}=1$.
Let $V(\alpha, \beta)=V\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$ be the local solution space of (1) around $z_{0}$. Denote by

$$
M(\alpha, \beta): G \rightarrow G L(V(\alpha, \beta))
$$

the monodromy representation of (1). Write

$$
h_{0}=M(\alpha, \beta)\left(g_{0}\right) \quad h_{1}=M(\alpha, \beta)\left(g_{1}\right) \quad h_{\infty}=M(\alpha, \beta)\left(g_{\infty}\right) .
$$

The eigenvalues of $h_{0}$ and $h_{\infty}$ read $\exp \left(-2 \pi i \beta_{j}\right)$ and $\exp \left(2 \pi i \alpha_{j}\right)$ respectively. Since there are $n-1$ independent holomorphic solutions near $z=1$ the element $h_{1}$ has $n-1$ eigenvalues 1 together with $n-1$ independent eigenvectors. Equivalently, $\operatorname{rank}\left(h_{1}-\mathrm{Id}\right) \leq 1$. An element $h \in G L(V)$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(h-\mathrm{Id})=1$ will be called a (pseudo)-reflection. The determinant of a reflection will be called the special eigenvalue. From the relation between the generators of the fundamental group it follows that $h_{1}^{-1}=h_{\infty} h_{0}$ is a (pseudo)reflection.

Theorem 1.2.1 Let $H \subset G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a subgroup generated by two matrices $A, B$ such that $A B^{-1}$ is a reflection. Then $H$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ if and only if $A$ and $B$ have disjoint sets of eigenvalues.

Proof. Suppose that $H$ acts reducibly. Let $V_{1}$ be a nontrivial invariant subspace and let $V_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / V_{1}$. Since $A-B$ has rank $1, A$ and $B$ coincide on either $V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$. Hence they have a common eigenvalue.
Suppose conversely that $A$ and $B$ have a common eigenvalue $\lambda$. Let $W=\operatorname{ker}(A-B)$. Since $A B^{-1}$ - Id has rank one, the same holds for $A-B$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(W)=n-1$. If any eigenvector of $A$ belongs to $W$, it must also be an eigenvector of $B$, since $A$ and $B$ coincide on $W$. Hence there is a one-dimensional invariant subspace. Suppose $W$ does not contain any eigenvector of $A$ or $B$. We show that the subspace $U=(A-\lambda) \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is invariant under $H$. Note that $A-\lambda$ Id has a non-trivial kernel which has trivial intersection with $W$. Hence $U$ has dimension $n-1$ and $U=(A-\lambda) W$. Since $A-\lambda$ and $B-\lambda$ coincide on $W$ we conclude that also
$U=(B-\lambda) W$ and hence, by a similar argument as for $A, U=(B-\lambda) \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Notice that $U$ is stable under $A$, as follows trivially from $A(A-\lambda) \mathbb{C}^{n}=(A-\lambda) A \mathbb{C}^{n}=(A-\lambda) \mathbb{C}^{n}$. For a similar reason $U$ is stable under $B$. Hence $H$ has the invariant subspace $U$.

Corollary 1.2.2 The monodromy group of (1) acts irreducibly if and only if all differences $\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}$ are non-integral.

This Corollary follows by application of our Theorem with $A=h_{\infty}$ and $B=h_{0}^{-1}$. From now on we shall be interested in the irreducible case only.

Theorem 1.2.3 (Levelt) Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be such that $a_{i} \neq b_{j}$ for all $i, j$. Then there exist $A, B \in G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ with eigenvalues $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ respectively such that $A B^{-1}$ is a reflection. Moreover, the pair $A, B$ is uniquely determined up to conjugation.

Proof. First we show the existence. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i}\left(X-a_{i}\right)=X^{n}+A_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+A_{n} \\
& \prod_{i}\left(X-b_{i}\right)=X^{n}+B_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+B_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -A_{n} \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -A_{n-1} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -A_{n-2} \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -A_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -B_{n} \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -B_{n-1} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -B_{n-2} \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -B_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\operatorname{rank}(A-B)=1$, hence $\operatorname{rank}\left(A B^{-1}-\mathrm{Id}\right)=1$ and $A B^{-1}$ is a reflection.
To prove uniqueness of $A, B$ we let $W=\operatorname{ker}(A-B)$. Note that $\operatorname{dim} W=n-1$. Let $V=$ $W \cap A^{-1} W \cap \cdots \cap A^{-(n-2)} W$. Then $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 1$. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} V>1$. Choose $v \in V \cap A^{-(n-1)} W$. Then $A^{i} v \in W$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence $U=<A^{i} v>_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset W$ is $A$-stable. In particular, $W$ contains an eigenvector of $A$. Since $B=A$ on $W$ this is also an eigenvector of $B$ with the same eigenvalue, contradicting our assumption on $A, B$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} V=1$. Letting $v \in V$ we take $v, A v, \ldots, A^{n-1} v$ as basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Since $A=B$ on $W$ we have that $A^{i} v=B^{i} v$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-2$ and with respect to this basis $A$ and $B$ have automatically the form given above.

## qed

Corollary 1.2.4 With the same hypotheses and $A_{i}, B_{j}$ as in the proof of the previous theorem we have that $<A, B>$ can be described by matrices having elements in $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{i}, B_{j}, 1 / A_{n}, 1 / B_{n}\right]$.

Levelt's theorem is a special case of a general rigidity theorem which has recently been proved by N.M.Katz. In section 1.4 we shall give an elementary proof of Katz's theorem.

### 1.3 Hypergeometric groups

Definition 1.3.1 Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. such that $a_{i} \neq b_{j}$ for every $i, j$. The group generated by $A, B$ such that $A$ and $B$ have eigenvalues $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ respectively and such that $A B^{-1}$ is a pseudoreflection, will be called a hypergeometric group with parameters $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. Notation: $H(a, b)=H\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$.

In particular, the monodromy group of (1) is a hypergeometric group with $a_{k}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha_{k}}$ and $b_{k}=e^{2 \pi i \beta_{k}}$.

Theorem 1.3.2 Let $H$ be a hypergeometric group with parameters $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Suppose that these parameters lie on the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a non-degenerate hermitean form $F(x, y)=\sum F_{i j} x_{i} y_{j}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $F(h x, h y)=F(x, y)$ for all $h \in H$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Denote by $\prec, \preceq$ the total ordering on the unit circle corresponding to increasing argument. Assume that the $a_{1} \preceq \ldots \preceq a_{n}$ and $b_{1} \preceq \ldots \preceq b_{n}$. Let $m_{j}=\#\left\{k \mid b_{k} \prec a_{j}\right\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then the signature $(p, q)$ of the hermitean form $F$ is given by

$$
|p-q|=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+m_{j}}\right| .
$$

The proof of the first part of this Theorem follows from the existence of Hermitian forms on rigid irreducible systems which is proved in section 1.4. The second part, on the signature, is more technical and we shall not show it in this text. We refer to the Beukers-Heckman paper instead.

Definition 1.3.3 Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be sets on the unit circle. We say that these sets interlace on the unit circle if and only if either

$$
a_{1} \prec b_{1} \prec a_{2} \prec b_{2} \cdots \prec a_{n} \prec b_{n}
$$

or

$$
b_{1} \prec a_{1} \prec b_{2} \prec a_{2} \cdots \prec b_{n} \prec a_{n} .
$$

Corollary 1.3.4 Let the hypergeometric group $H$ have all of its parameters on the unit circle. Then $H$ is contained in $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if the parametersets interlace on the unit circle.

Proof. To see this Corollary we use Theorem 1.3.2. There we see that the signature of the group equals $n$ if and only if $m_{j}$ and $j$ have the same parity of all $j$. If one thinks about it this can only happen if at least one $b_{k}$ is located among every two consecutive $a_{j}$. Hence the eigenvalue sets interlace.

Theorem 1.3.5 Suppose the parameters $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ are roots of unity, let us say $h$-th roots of unity for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Then the hypergeometric group $H(a, b)$ is finite if and only if for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(h, k)=1$ the sets $\left\{a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{n}^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}^{k}, \ldots, b_{n}^{k}\right\}$ interlace on the unit circle.

Proof. The Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\exp (2 \pi i / h))$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by elements of the form

$$
\sigma_{k}: \exp (2 \pi i / h) \rightarrow \exp (2 \pi i k / h)
$$

for any $k,(k, h)=1$. The group $H(a, b)$ can be represented by matrices with entries in the ring of cyclotomic integers $\mathbb{Z}[\exp (2 \pi i / h)]$. The Galois automorphsim $\sigma_{k}$ maps the group $H(a, b)$ isomorphically to the hypergeometric group $H_{k}$ with parameters $a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{n}^{k}, b_{1}^{k}, \ldots, b_{n}^{k}$. Each group $H_{k}$ has an invariant hermitian form $F_{k}$ for $(k, h)=1$.
Suppose $H(a, b)$ is finite. Then each $F_{k}$ is definite, hence every pair of sets $\left\{a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{n}^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}^{k}, \ldots, b_{n}^{k}\right\}$ interlace on the unit circle.
Suppose conversely that $\left\{a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{n}^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}^{k}, \ldots, b_{n}^{k}\right\}$ interlace for every $k,(k, h)=1$. Then each group $H_{k}$ is subgroup of a unitary group with definite form $F_{k}$. In particular the entries of each element are bounded in absolute value by some constant, $C$ say. This implies that any entry of any element of $H(a, b)$ has conjugates which are all bounded by $C$. Since there exist only finitely many elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\exp (2 \pi i / h)]$ having this property, we conclude the finiteness of $H(a, b)$.

An immediate consequence of this theorem is that, for example, the hypergeometric function

$$
{ }_{8} F_{7}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
1 / 30,7 / 30,11 / 30,13 / 30,17 / 30,19 / 30,23 / 30,29 / 30 \\
1 / 5,1 / 3,2 / 5,1 / 2,3 / 5,2 / 3,4 / 5
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)
$$

is an algebraic function. The Galois group belonging to this function is the Weyl group $W\left(E_{8}\right)$ which has 696729600 elements. It was noticed by F. Rodriguez-Villegas that if we replace $z$ in this function by $2^{1} 4 * 3^{9} * 5^{5} * z$ we get the powerseries

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(30 n)!n!}{(15 n)!(10 n)!(6 n)!} z^{n}
$$

which is precisely the series studied by Chebyshev during his work on the distribution of prime numbers.

### 1.4 Rigidity

In this section we formulate and prove Katz's result on rigidity, see [Katz, Theorem 1.1.2]. Let $k$ be a field and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{r} \in G L(n, k)$. We suppose that $g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{r}=I d$. Let $G$ be the group generated by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$. We say that the $r$-tuple is irreducible if the group $G$ acts irreducibly on $k^{n}$. We call the $r$-tuple $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ linearly rigid if for any conjugates $\tilde{g}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{g}_{r}$ of $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ with $\tilde{g}_{1} \tilde{g}_{2} \cdots \tilde{g}_{r}=\mathrm{Id}$ there exists $u \in G L(n, k)$ such that $\tilde{g}_{i}=u g_{i} u^{-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$.
For example, it follows from Levelt's theorem that the generators $g_{1}=A, g_{2}=B^{-1}, g_{3}=$ $B A^{-1}$ of a hypergeometric group form a linearly rigid system.

Theorem 1.4.1 (Katz) Let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{r} \in G L(n, k)$ be an irreducible r-tuple with $g_{1} g_{2} \ldots g_{r}=$ Id. Let, for each $i, \delta_{i}$ be the codimension of the linear space $\left\{A \in M_{n}(k) \mid g_{i} A=A g_{i}\right\}$ ( $=$ codimension of the centralizer of $\left.g_{i}\right)$. Then,
i) $\delta_{1}+\cdots+\delta_{r} \geq 2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$
ii) If $\delta_{1}+\cdots+\delta_{r}=2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$, the system is linearly rigid.
iii) If $k$ is algebraically closed, then the converse of part ii) holds

We note that the centraliser of $g \in G L(n, k)$ depends only on the Jordan normal form of $g$. If $g$ is diagonalisable, the dimension of the centraliser is the sum of the squares of the dimensions of the eigenspaces of $g$. When $g$ has distinct eigenvalues this dimension is $n$, when $g$ is a (pseudo)reflection this dimension is $(n-1)^{2}+1=n^{2}-2 n+2$. The corresponding codimensions are $n^{2}-n$ and $2 n-2$ respectively.
More generally, suppose that $g$ has eigenspaces of dimensions $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$. Then the codimension of the centraliser is equal to $n^{2}-n-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(n_{i}^{2}-n_{i}\right)$.
By way of example consider a hypergeometric group generated by $g_{1}=A, g_{2}=B^{-1}, g_{3}=$ $B A^{-1}$. For $A$ and $B$ we clearly have $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \leq n^{2}-n$. Since $g_{3}$ is a (pseudo)reflection we have $\delta_{3}=2 n-2$. Notice that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3} \leq 2 n^{2}-2$. Together with part i) of Katz's Theorem this implies $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}=2 n^{2}-2$. Hence the triple $A, B^{-1}, B A^{-1}$ is linearly rigid. As a bonus we get that the eigenspaces of $A$ and $B$ all have dimension one. Hence to each eigenvalue there is precisely one Jordan block in the Jordan normal form.
Another example comes from the Jordan-Pochhammer equation, which is an $n$-th order Fuchsian equation with $n+1$ singular points and around each singular point the local monodromy is (up to a scalar) a pseudo-reflection. So for each singularity we have $\delta_{i}=2 n-2$. The sum of these delta's is of course $2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. So if the monodromy is irreducible we have again a rigid system. This case has been elaborated by [Haraoka].
The proof of Katz's theorem is based on the following Theorem from linear algebra. In this Theorem we consider a group $G$ acting on a finite dimensional linear space $V$. For every $X \subset G$ we denote by $d(X)$ resp. $d^{*}(X)$ the codimension of the common fixed point space in $V$ resp. $V^{*}$, the dual of $V$, of all elements of $X$.

Theorem 1.4.2 (L.L.Scott) Let $H \in G L(V)$ be the group generated by $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{r}$ with $h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{r}=$ Id. Then

$$
d\left(h_{1}\right)+d\left(h_{2}\right)+\cdots+d\left(h_{r}\right) \geq d(G)+d^{*}(G) .
$$

Proof. Let $W$ be the direct sum $\oplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-h_{i}\right) V$. Define the linear map $\beta: V \rightarrow W$ by

$$
\beta: v \mapsto\left(\left(1-h_{1}\right) v, \ldots,\left(1-h_{r}\right) v\right) .
$$

Define the linear map $\delta: W \rightarrow V$ by

$$
\delta:\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right) \mapsto v_{1}+h_{1} v_{2}+h_{1} h_{2} v_{3}+\cdots+h_{1} \cdots h_{r-1} v_{r}
$$

Because of the identity

$$
1-h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{r}=\left(1-h_{1}\right)+h_{1}\left(1-h_{2}\right)+\cdots+h_{1} \cdots h_{r-1}\left(1-h_{r}\right)
$$

we see that the image of $\beta$ is contained in the kernel of $\delta$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \beta) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} \delta)$. Moreover, the kernel of $\beta$ is precisely $\cap_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{ker}\left(1-h_{i}\right)$. The dimension of the latter space equals $n-d(H)$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \beta)=n-(n-d(H))=d(H)$.

The image of $\delta$ is

$$
\left(1-h_{1}\right) V+h_{1}\left(1-h_{2}\right) V+\cdots+h_{1} \cdots h_{r-1}\left(1-h_{r}\right) V
$$

which is equal to $\left(1-h_{1}\right) V+\left(1-h_{2}\right) V+\cdots+\left(1-h_{r}\right) V$. Note that any $w \in \cap_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{ker}\left(1-h_{i}^{*}\right)$ in the dual space $V^{*}$ we vanishes on $\operatorname{Im} \delta$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \delta) \geq d^{*}(H)$.
Finally notice that $\operatorname{dim}(W)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} d\left(h_{i}\right)$. Putting everything together we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} d\left(h_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(W) & =\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} \delta)+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \delta) \\
& \geq \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \beta)+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \delta) \\
& \geq d(H)+d^{*}(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is precisely the desired inequality.
Proof of Katz's theorem following Völklein-Strambach. For the first part of Katz's theorem we apply Scott's Theorem to the vector space of $n \times n$-matrices and the group generated by the maps $h_{i}: A \mapsto g_{i}^{-1} A g_{i}$. Notice that $d\left(h_{i}\right)$ is now precisely the codimension of the centraliser of $g_{i}$, hence $d\left(h_{i}\right)=\delta_{i}$ for all $i$. The number $d(H)$ is precisely the codimension of the space $\left\{A \in M_{n}(k) \mid g A=A g\right.$ for all $\left.g \in G\right\}$. By Schur's Lemma the irreducibility of the action of $G$ implies that the dimension of this space is 1 and the codimension $n^{2}-1$. So $d(H)=n^{2}-1$. To determine $d^{*}(H)$ we note that the matrix space $V=M_{n}(k)$ is isomorphic to its dual via the map $V \rightarrow V^{*}$ given by $A \mapsto\left(X \mapsto \operatorname{Trace}(A X)\right.$. Let us identify $V$ with $V^{*}$ in this way. Since $\operatorname{Trace}\left(A g^{-1} X g\right)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(g A g^{-1} X\right)$ we see that the action of $g$ on the dual space is given by $A \mapsto g A g^{-1}$. hence $d^{*}(H)=n^{2}-1$. Application of Scott's Theorem now shows that

$$
\delta_{1}+\cdots+\delta_{2} \geq d(H)+d^{*}(H)=2\left(n^{2}-1\right)
$$

To prove the second part of the theorem we apply Scott's Theorem with $V=M_{n}(k)$ again, but now with the maps $h_{i}: A \mapsto g_{i}^{-1} A \tilde{g}_{i}$. For each $i$ choose $u_{i} \in G L(n, k)$ such that $\tilde{g}_{i}=u_{i} g_{i} u_{i}^{-1}$. Now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(h_{i}\right) & =\operatorname{codim}\left\{A \mid g_{i}^{-1} A \tilde{g}_{i}=A\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{codim}\left\{A \mid A \tilde{g}_{i}=g_{i} A\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{codim}\left\{A \mid A u_{i} g_{i} u_{i}^{-1}=g_{i} A\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{codim}\left\{A \mid\left(A u_{i}\right) g_{i}=g_{i}\left(A u_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{codim}\left\{A \mid A g_{i}=g_{i} A\right\}=\delta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of the $\delta_{i}$ is given to be $2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. Together with Scott's Theorem this implies $d(H)+d^{*}(H) \leq 2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. This means that either $d(H)<n^{2}$ or $d^{*}(H)<n^{2}$ or both. Let us assume $d(H)<n^{2}$, the other case being similar. Then there is a non-trivial $n \times n$ matrix $A$ such that $A \tilde{g}_{i}=g_{i} A$ for all $i$. From these inequalities we see in particular that the image of $A$ is stable under the group generated by the $g_{i}$. Since the $r$-tuple $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ is irreducible this means that $A\left(k^{n}\right)$ is either trivial or $k^{n}$ itself. Because $A$ is non-trivial we conclude that $A\left(k^{n}\right)=k^{n}$ and $A$ is invertible. We thus conclude that $\tilde{g}_{i}=A^{-1} g_{i} A$ for all $i$. In other words, our system $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ is rigid.

The proof of part iii) uses a dimension argument. Let $C_{i}$ be the conjugacy class of $g_{i} i=$ $1,2, \ldots, r$. Consider the multiplication map $\Pi: C_{1} \times C_{2} \times \cdots \times C_{r} \rightarrow G L(n, k)$ given by $\left(c_{1}, c-2, \ldots, c_{r}\right) \mapsto c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{r}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{r}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\Pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Id})+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \Pi)\right.
$$

First of all note that $\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{dim}\left(C_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \delta_{i}$. Secondly, by the rigidity and irreducibility assumptions we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Id})\right)=n^{2}-1$. Finally, $\operatorname{Im} \Pi$ is contained in the hypersuface of all matrices whose determinant is $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{r}\right)=1$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} \Pi) \leq n^{2}-1$.
These three facts imply that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \delta_{i} \leq 2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. Together with part i) this implies the desired equality. qed

In many practical situations the local monodromies of differential equations have eigenvalues which are complex numbers with absolute value 1 . In that case there exists also a monodromy invariant Hermitian form on the solution space. We formulate this as a Lemma.

Lemma 1.4.3 Let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{r} \in G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a rigid, irreducible system with $g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{r}=$ Id. Suppose that for each $i$ the matrices $g_{i}$ and $\tilde{g}_{i}=\left(\bar{g}_{i}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ are conjugate. Then there exists a non-trivial matrix $H \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\bar{g}_{i}^{t} H g_{i}=H$ for each $i$ and $\bar{H}^{t}=H$.

Proof. Notice that, $\tilde{g}_{1} \cdots \tilde{g}_{r}=$ Id. Moreover, the $g_{i}$ and $\tilde{g}_{i}$ are conjugate so by rigidity there exists a matrix $H \in G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tilde{g}_{i}=H g_{i} H^{-1}$ for all $i$. Hence $H=\bar{g}_{i}^{t} H g_{i}$ for all $i$. Moreover, since the system $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ is irreducible, the matrix $H$ is uniquely determined up to a scalar factor. Since $\bar{H}^{t}$ is also a solution we see that $\bar{H}^{t}=\lambda H$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover $|\lambda|=1$ and writing $\lambda=\mu / \bar{\mu}$ we see that $\mu H$ is a Hermitian matrix. Now take $H:=\mu H$. qed
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