

The degenerate C. Neumann system I : symmetry reduction and convexity

Holger R. Dullin

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney
Sydney NSW 2006, Australia.*

Heinz Hanßmann

*Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Utrecht
3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands.*

8 May 2012

Abstract

The C. Neumann system describes a particle on the sphere S^n under the influence of a potential that is a quadratic form. We study the case that the quadratic form has $\ell + 1$ distinct eigenvalues with multiplicity. Each group of m_σ equal eigenvalues gives rise to an $O(m_\sigma)$ -symmetry in configuration space. The combined symmetry group G is a direct product of $\ell + 1$ such factors, and its cotangent lift has an Ad^* -equivariant momentum mapping. Regular reduction leads to the Rosochatius system on S^ℓ , which has the same form as the Neumann system albeit for an additional effective potential.

To understand how the reduced systems fit together we use singular reduction to construct an embedding of the reduced Poisson space T^*S^n/G into $\mathbb{R}^{3\ell+3}$. The global geometry is described, in particular the bundle structure that appears as a result of the superintegrability of the system. We show how the reduced Neumann system separates in elliptical-spherical co-ordinates. We derive the action variables and frequencies as complete hyperelliptic integrals of genus ℓ . Finally we prove a convexity result for the image of the Casimir mapping restricted to the energy surface.

1 Introduction

The C. Neumann system is one of the few examples of an integrable system with n degrees of freedom, where n is an arbitrary positive integer. It describes a particle moving on the sphere S^n under the influence of a linear force. As C.G. Jacobi's student, Carl Neumann studied the case $n = 2$ in his thesis [27]. The general case has been beautifully described

by Moser [23, 24, 25]. Knörrer [16] elucidated the connection to the geodesic flow on ellipsoids, see also [31]. Later Knörrer [17] also showed that near hyperbolic critical values of the Integral mapping the Kolmogorov non-degeneracy condition holds so that KAM theory can be applied. Whether this is true for all regular values is still open. Devaney [7] noticed that the system has transversal homoclinic intersections while being Liouville integrable. Ratiu [28] realised that the system can be written as dynamics on the adjoint orbit of $SO(n) \ltimes Sym(n)$ on the Lie algebra of this semi-direct product. The action variables and their Picard–Fuchs equations haven been derived in [8].

All these works assume the generic case that the quadratic potential $\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \rangle$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of the system embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} has $n + 1$ *distinct* eigenvalues $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$. The present paper studies the degenerate case in which the eigenvalues a_ν are not all distinct. This modification leads to the Rosochatius system, an integrable $(n + 1)$ -parameter deformation of the Neumann system, which we derive and analyse. The fact that the degenerate Neumann system is also integrable has been shown in [32]. This is non-trivial because the smooth integrals ((6), see below) of the non-degenerate system found by Uhlenbeck [23] become singular in this limit. So even though equal eigenvalues make the system simpler in the sense that global symmetry is introduced, a non-trivial transition takes place.

The non-degenerate Neumann systems on S^n with $n > 1$ does not admit *globally defined* continuous symmetries. The symmetry group of the generic Neumann system is the direct product \mathbb{Z}_2^{n+1} of $n + 1$ factors $O(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and hence discrete. Correspondingly, there are no global smooth actions, i.e. integrals of motion that globally generate periodic flows. By the Liouville–Arnold theorem almost everywhere there exist local actions, but usually they cannot be extended to smooth global integrals. Hence locally there is a free \mathbb{T}^n -action (defined by the commuting flows of local actions I_j , cf. [8]), but globally there is not even a \mathbb{T}^1 -action. Nevertheless, the system can be integrated by separation of variables. Explicit solutions can be derived in terms of θ -functions of genus n , see [24].

The *degenerate* Neumann system on S^n admits a large global symmetry group, and hence possesses corresponding global actions. For each group of m_σ equal eigenvalues we have an $O(m_\sigma)$ symmetry that acts by rotation of the corresponding group of co-ordinates and by cotangent lift on the momenta. In general, the potential has $\ell + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $b_0 < \dots < b_\ell$ of multiplicities m_0, \dots, m_ℓ . The corresponding symmetry group of the Neumann systems on S^n is $G = O(m_0) \times O(m_1) \times \dots \times O(m_\ell)$. In the generic case $\ell = n$ that all eigenvalues are distinct we recover $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^{n+1}$. The symmetry group G describes the freedom in the choice of the (non-unique) co-ordinate system in which \mathbf{A} is diagonal.

In most of the paper we consider the orthogonal groups as the relevant factors in the symmetry group G , because this allows a uniform treatment of the factors $O(m)$ no matter what m is. However, sometimes it is more appropriate to restrict in one or several factors to the special orthogonal group. This is the case when the singularities of the reduced phase space can be avoided, and it occurs where a factor of G is $O(2)$. The reason for this is a subtle difference between the invariants of the $O(2)$ and the $SO(2)$ actions, which is not present when the factor is $O(m)$ with $m > 2$. This difference becomes particularly

important when studying Hamiltonian monodromy, which appears e.g. when there are two eigenvalues of multiplicity two each. The corresponding situation in the geodesic flow on the ellipsoid has been studied in [5, 6].

Our main result is the reduction of the degenerate Neumann system with the symmetry group G and a detailed description of the relation of the reduced system with ℓ degrees of freedom to the Rosochatius system. After describing the setting in the next section, and a review of orthogonal group actions in Section 3, this reduction is performed in Section 4. When the momentum is weakly regular, Marsden–Weinstein reduction [20] leads to a system on S^ℓ with an additional effective potential. Since not all momentum values are weakly regular we perform singular reduction, which for singular values leads to reduced phase spaces with singularities and simultaneously embeds all reduced phase spaces coming from (weakly) regular values as symplectic leaves of a Poisson structure in $R^{3\ell+3}$. When $m_\sigma \geq 3$ for at least one index σ the system is superintegrable, with a non-commutative symmetry group, and the lower dimensional tori are generically parametrised by a product of oriented Graßmannian manifolds $G_{m_\sigma, 2}$. Furthermore we compute the relative equilibria and characterise the Energy–Casimir mapping. In Section 5 we show how the Rosochatius system obtained by reduction of the degenerate Neumann system can be separated in elliptical-spherical co-ordinates. From this the non-trivial actions and frequencies are derived. In the final Section 6 we show that the set of critical values of the Integral mapping of the reduced system (consisting of the ℓ independent integrals of motion that are not obtained from the symmetry group G) is topologically a 2^ℓ -tant when all Casimirs are non-zero. Finally, we prove that the image of the Casimir mapping restricted to the energy surface is a convex set, which in the limit of large energy tends to a convex polyhedron.

2 The Neumann System

The Neumann system describes a particle moving on a sphere under the influence of a quadratic potential. We use $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as co-ordinates in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in which the sphere S^n is embedded as $C_1 = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle = 1$ with the standard Euclidean scalar product. The kinetic energy is $T(\dot{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{\mathbf{x}} | \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$ and the potential is $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \rangle$. Newton’s equations are

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = -\nabla V + \lambda \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

where the Lagrange multiplier λ gives the strength $\lambda = 2V - 2T$ of the constraining normal force. The initial conditions must be chosen such that $\dot{C}_1 = 2\langle \mathbf{x} | \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle = 0$. To preserve C_1 we furthermore require $\ddot{C}_1 = 0$, which determines λ . By a rotation of the co-ordinate system we can always achieve that \mathbf{A} is diagonal with diagonal entries a_ν , $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The classical Neumann system has $n = 2$, and distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} ordered as $a_0 < a_1 < a_2$. We call a Neumann system (with arbitrary n) degenerate if \mathbf{A} has multiple eigenvalues.

2.1 Global Hamiltonian description

The canonical momenta are $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$. They satisfy the canonical Poisson bracket relations $[x_\nu, y_\kappa] = \delta_{\nu\kappa}$, $[x_\nu, x_\kappa] = [y_\nu, y_\kappa] = 0$. Besides $C_1 = 1$ the momentum vectors are constrained to be tangent to the sphere, $C_2 = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$. This embeds the phase space T^*S^n into \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} . Since we are dealing with a constrained system for which \mathbf{x} are not generalized co-ordinates, the Lagrangian is degenerate and the Legendre transformation to the Hamiltonian does not work. Instead we just write down the Hamiltonian in canonical variables of the embedding space

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle + V(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2)$$

To ensure that the constraints $C_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 0$ are respected by the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field, we modify the canonical Poisson bracket $[\dots]$ to the Dirac Poisson bracket $\{\dots, \dots\}$, cf. e.g. [4]. We then confirm directly that $\{\dots, \dots\}$ together with (2) lead to Newton's equations as well, see (5) below.

For the Dirac bracket one first calculates the bracket of the constraints. The only non-zero bracket in our case is $[C_1, C_2] = 2C_1$. Altogether they form the matrix $\gamma_{ij} = [C_i, C_j]$. The original bracket is modified by $[f, C_i][C_j, g](\gamma^{-1})_{ij}$. This gives

$$\{f, g\} = [f, g] + \frac{1}{2C_1}[f, C_1][C_2, g] - \frac{1}{2C_1}[f, C_2][C_1, g]. \quad (3)$$

Sometimes we need this bracket in spaces of different dimensions, in which case we indicate it by a subscript, whence the above bracket reads $\{\dots, \dots\}_{2n+2}$. This new Poisson structure $\{\dots, \dots\}$ has C_1 and C_2 as Casimirs and is explicitly given by

$$\{x_\nu, x_\kappa\} = 0, \quad \{x_\nu, y_\kappa\} = \delta_{\nu\kappa} - \frac{x_\nu x_\kappa}{C_1}, \quad \{y_\nu, y_\kappa\} = -\frac{L_{\nu\kappa}}{C_1}. \quad (4)$$

Here $L_{\nu\kappa} = x_\nu y_\kappa - x_\kappa y_\nu$ are the components of the angular momentum $\mathbf{J} : T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n+1)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$. The Poisson bracket $\{L_{\nu\kappa}, L_{\mu\lambda}\}$ is non-zero if and only if exactly one of the indices ν, κ coincides with one of the indices μ, λ . Using $L_{\nu\kappa} = -L_{\kappa\nu}$ the single coinciding index can be moved so that we obtain all non-zero bracket relations from

$$\{L_{\nu\kappa}, L_{\kappa\lambda}\} = L_{\lambda\nu}.$$

Note that $\{L_{\nu\kappa}, L_{\kappa\lambda}\} = [L_{\nu\kappa}, L_{\kappa\lambda}]$ because $[L_{ij}, C_1] = 0$. Now Hamilton's equations $\dot{f} = \{f, H\}$ restricted to T^*S^n are

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = -\nabla V + (\langle \mathbf{x} | \nabla V \rangle - 2T)\mathbf{x}. \quad (5)$$

Here we used the Casimir $C_2 = 0$ and the identity $\sum L_{\nu\kappa} y_\kappa = x_\nu \sum y_\kappa^2$. These equations are equivalent to Newton's equations (1).

The Neumann system with distinct eigenvalues a_ν is Liouville integrable. The polynomial integrals $\tilde{F}_0, \dots, \tilde{F}_n$ given in [23] are

$$\tilde{F}_\nu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = x_\nu^2 + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu}^n \frac{L_{\nu\mu}^2}{a_\nu - a_\mu}, \quad (6)$$

and they are independent up to the relation $\sum \tilde{F}_\nu = C_1$. When restricting to T^*S^n the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu \tilde{F}_\nu.$$

Surprisingly, these integrals were not classically known [23].

Equations (5) are valid for dynamics on S^n with arbitrary potential. Integrable systems in this class of Hamiltonians include not only the Neumann system with $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_\nu x_\nu^2$ but also the spherical pendulum with $V(\mathbf{x}) = x_0$ or the Rosochatius system [29, 24, 19] with $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_\nu x_\nu^2 + w_\nu/x_\nu^2$. For $n = 2$ and the linear potential we obtain the classical spherical pendulum on S^2 , see e.g. [4]. For $n = 2$ with the quadratic potential we obtain the classical Neumann system on S^2 [27]; the degenerate case with $a_1 = a_2$ (“quadratic spherical pendulum”) has been studied in [3, 9].

In this paper we are concerned with the cases in which \mathbf{A} has multiple eigenvalues, so that there is additional rotational symmetry among the groups of co-ordinate axes x_ν with the same a_ν . Let $b_\sigma, \sigma = 0, \dots, \ell$, denote the values of different coefficients a_ν where b_σ has multiplicity m_σ . We arrange groups of equal a_ν to be labeled consecutively and assume they are sorted by size $b_0 < \dots < b_\ell$. Generally we use greek indices ν, κ, \dots ranging from 0 to n and σ, τ, \dots ranging from 0 to ℓ , while latin indices i, k, \dots run through the index sets I_σ that contain the m_σ indices which have the same coefficient $b_\sigma = a_i$ for $i \in I_\sigma$. Then the potential can be written as

$$V = b_0 V_0 + b_1 V_1 + \dots + b_\ell V_\ell, \quad V_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_\sigma}^{m_\sigma} x_i^2.$$

The potential is invariant under the symmetry group

$$G = O(m_0) \times O(m_1) \times \dots \times O(m_\ell)$$

where $O(m_\sigma)$ acts on the co-ordinates summed over in V_σ : the sum of their squares remains constant. The group G describes the ambiguity for the choice of an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} . Indeed, the co-ordinate system chosen above is obviously not unique, but any G -action on it gives another admissible co-ordinate system (possibly with opposite orientation) in which the Hamiltonian assumes the same form. Under the orthogonal transformations from G the velocities transform (by tangent lift) in the same way as the co-ordinates, so the kinetic energy remains constant as well.

The integrals (6) become singular in the degenerate case. The integrals in the degenerate case were found in [32]. For each set of m_σ equal coefficients $a_i = b_\sigma$, $i \in I_\sigma$ the integrals are the angular momenta L_{ik} where $i, k \in I_\sigma$ and the function

$$F_\sigma = \sum_{i \in I_\sigma}^{m_\sigma} x_i^2 + \sum_{\tau \neq \sigma}^{\ell} \sum_{k \in I_\sigma}^{m_\sigma} \sum_{l \in I_\tau}^{m_\tau} \frac{L_{kl}^2}{b_\sigma - b_\tau}. \quad (7)$$

Assembling the angular momenta into

$$W_\sigma = \sum_{i < k \in I_\sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}m_\sigma(m_\sigma-1)} L_{ik}^2$$

we can express the Hamiltonian on T^*S^n as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} b_\sigma F_\sigma + W_\sigma.$$

Considering the case with equal coefficients $a_i = b_\sigma$, $i \in I_\sigma$ as the limit (denoted by $a \rightarrow b$ below) of the generic case with all a_i distinct the integrals \tilde{F}_ν of the generic case diverge. However, the combinations

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow b} \sum_{k \in I_\sigma} \tilde{F}_k = F_\sigma$$

remain finite and the relation $\sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} F_\sigma = C_1 = 1$ on S^n is inherited.

2.2 Basic Dynamics

Some simple observations can be made directly from the differential equations of the Neumann system. Understanding this local information helps to put together the global picture later on.

Observe that the differential equations (1) have the simple form $\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}$ with the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{C} = \text{diag}(\lambda - a_\nu)$. This may appear to be linear, but in fact $\lambda = 2V - 2T$ depends on all the variables. Still, for any choice of subset of d indices $I = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d\}$ the $2d$ dimensional invariant subsystem $x_\nu = y_\nu = 0$, $\nu \notin I$, is again a Neumann system of lower dimension.

In the simplest case $d = 1$ the invariant subsystem consists of two equilibrium points at $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\pm \mathbf{e}_\nu, 0)$. The Hessian of the potential V in local co-ordinates (which are \mathbf{x} with x_ν omitted) near the equilibrium has eigenvalues $2(a_\kappa - a_\nu)$, $\kappa \neq \nu$. Denote the number of $a_\kappa > a_\nu$ by m_ν^u and the number of $a_\kappa < a_\nu$ by m_ν^s . Then the spectrum of the equilibrium at $\pm \mathbf{e}_\nu$ has m_ν^u pairs of non-zero real eigenvalues and m_ν^s pairs of non-zero purely imaginary eigenvalues. For the coefficients b_σ with multiplicity m_σ there is a sphere $S^{m_\sigma-1}$ of equilibrium points of dimension $m_\sigma - 1$ given by $V_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. All of them

are equilibrium points of (1) because $\lambda = b_\sigma$ exactly balances the force for all non-zero co-ordinates. These non-isolated equilibrium points are reflected by the number $m_\sigma - 1$ of zero eigenvalues.

For $d = 2$ there is a Neumann subsystem with 1 degree of freedom in the plane with the chosen indices. The potential on the circle obtained by intersecting the plane with the sphere $C_1 = 1$ is periodic, and either has two minima and two maxima (like “twice” a pendulum), or is constant when the coefficients a_ν in this plane are equal. The types of motion inside this plane are easy to obtain, but the normal stability of these orbits is already non-trivial. These $n(n + 1)/2$ families of periodic orbits in particular contain the non-linear normal modes of the $n + 1$ equilibria.

For $d = 3$ we find a Neumann subsystem with 2 degrees of freedom. The generic motion takes place on 2-tori \mathbb{T}^2 when the coefficients of the three planes are not all equal. In this case there exist isolated periodic orbits on the energy shell which are not inside an invariant plane with $d = 2$. When all three coefficients are equal the potential is constant on the sphere, and the system defines the geodesic flow on S^2 . In that case all the orbits are closed, and in fact great circles on S^2 .

The hierarchy of invariant subsystems continues up to $d = n$. Each invariant set has a normal stability, and invariant manifolds associated to that normal stability. Together with the invariant tori they form an intricate system of invariant submanifolds that together foliate the phase space. The foliation for the non-degenerate Neumann system has been studied in [8]. Interestingly, the foliation becomes more complicated when additional symmetry is introduced. This additional structure is described in this paper.

Now we focus on those invariant subspaces for which the above index set I is equal to an index set I_σ of equal coefficients, given by

$$\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in T^*S^n : x_\nu = y_\nu = 0 \forall_{\nu \notin I_\sigma}\} . \quad (8)$$

Consider an initial condition $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ with $\mathbf{y}_0 \neq 0$ inside this invariant subspace. Choose a new orthogonal co-ordinate system which has among its unit vectors the directions of \mathbf{x}_0 and \mathbf{y}_0 . This is always possible by an orthogonal transformation because \mathbf{x}_0 and \mathbf{y}_0 are orthogonal. Moreover, the 2nd order differential equation (1) is invariant under such a rotation, because we only need to rotate within the subset of co-ordinates I_σ for which there are equal coefficients. We already noted that the symmetry group G originates from this freedom of choice of co-ordinates. After this co-ordinate transformation, only two of the m_σ co-ordinates are involved in the dynamics, while the remaining $m_\sigma - 2$ co-ordinates remain constant. In this sense locally nothing new happens when $m_\sigma \geq 3$. However, globally, the geometry does become more interesting for $m_\sigma \geq 3$: a different initial condition gives a solution that differs by an isometry. The set of all such solutions is given by the set of all oriented 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^{m_σ} . This is a Graßmannian manifold and it appears as a coadjoint orbit below.

Interestingly, most of the above analysis can still be applied even when the initial condition $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ is *not* inside an invariant subspace (8). We then have co-ordinates in different I_σ and all these can again be simplified by a rotation, so that only two co-ordinates in each subsystem are non-constant. The difference is that the Lagrange

multiplier in (1) depends on all the co-ordinates and momenta, and therefore is not a constant anymore. In this way, after the co-ordinate transformation all the remaining equations are coupled in a non-trivial way.

When at least one $m_\sigma \geq 3$ the system becomes superintegrable, cf. [26, 22, 10, 14, 12], having more integrals than degrees of freedom. This makes the phase space a ramified torus bundle, with regular fibres $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+\tilde{\ell}+1}$. Here $\ell+1$ is the number of distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and $\tilde{\ell}+1$ is the number of groups of multiple eigenvalues. If $m_\sigma \leq 2$ for all $\sigma = 0, \dots, \ell$ then $\ell + \tilde{\ell} + 1 = n$ and the regular fibres of the ramified torus bundle are Lagrangian tori. Under regular reduction the fibres $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+\tilde{\ell}+1}$ are mapped to \mathbb{T}^ℓ — the generic reduced motion takes place on ℓ -tori. Hence ℓ is the number of frequencies needed to describe a generic reduced motion. For each of the $\tilde{\ell}+1$ groups I_σ with $m_\sigma \geq 2$ the full system turns out to have one additional frequency.

In the following we are going to make the above more precise and describe the associated global geometry. Our treatment of the degenerate Neumann system heavily relies on the reduction with respect to the symmetry group

$$G = O(m_0) \times \dots \times O(m_\ell)$$

with subgroup

$$\tilde{G} = \prod_{m_\sigma \geq 2} O(m_\sigma), \quad G/\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{\ell-\tilde{\ell}}$$

whence we now recall some facts about the cotangent lifted group action of the orthogonal group $O(m)$. We shall later see that understanding this group action is the key to an efficient description of the symmetry reduction in the degenerate Neumann system. The following section also serves as a quick introduction to (singular) reduction and to the geometry of superintegrable systems.

3 Orthogonal Group Action

Consider the orthogonal group $O(m)$ of invertible $m \times m$ matrices g which preserve the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^m , so that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we have $\langle g\mathbf{x} | g\mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle$. Let the group $G = O(m)$ act on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with co-ordinates (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) and symplectic structure $\Omega = d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\mathbf{y}$. The isometry $g \in O(m)$ gives a point transformation by left multiplication, the induced action on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ is obtained by cotangent lift. Since $(g^t)^{-1} = g$ we find the symplectic action

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : O(m) \times T^*\mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^m \\ (g, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &\longmapsto \Phi_g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (g\mathbf{x}, g\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence, the orthogonal group acts by rotating co-ordinates and conjugate momenta in the same way. The general Φ -invariant Hamiltonian is a function of the invariants of this group action, whence it can be expressed as a function

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \hat{H}(\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle) \tag{10}$$

of the three basic invariants of the action Φ . In other words, these three basic invariants form a Hilbert basis, and since there are no constraining syzygies between these invariants this is a free Hilbert basis. A standard example is the motion in a central force field, for which the Hamiltonian reads

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle + U(\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle)$$

with radial potential U .

3.1 Momentum mapping

By Noether's theorem each one-parameter symmetry leads to a conserved quantity. For multi-parameter groups like $O(m)$ the integrals of motion can be assembled into the momentum mapping of the group action.

Lemma 3.1. *For $m \geq 2$ the action of $G = O(m)$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ given by $\Phi_g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (g\mathbf{x}, g\mathbf{y})$ has an Ad^* -equivariant Momentum mapping given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} : T^*\mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(m)^* \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &\longmapsto \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Any $0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{so}(m)^*$ is a weakly regular value, which is regular for $m = 2, 3$.

We recall that Marsden–Weinstein reduction [20] works for weakly regular momentum values. A value of a differentiable mapping is called weakly regular if the tangent space at every point of the pre-image is given by the kernel of the Jacobian at that point. Lemma 3.1 is true in general for faithful cotangent lifted matrix Lie group actions, see, e.g., 12.1.1 in [21]. For the convenience of the reader and in order to introduce our notation we give a direct proof.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{so}(m)$ be the infinitesimal generator of $g = \exp \xi$. The symmetry Φ_g is the time one mapping of the flow of the vector field $X_\xi = (\xi\mathbf{x}, \xi\mathbf{y})$. This vector field has the Hamiltonian $J_\xi = \langle \xi\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$. The Momentum mapping $\mathbf{J} : T^*\mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(m)^*$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \xi = J_\xi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y});$$

note that the pairing $\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathfrak{so}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} AB^t$ allows to identify $\mathfrak{so}(m)$ with its dual, whence $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \xi = \langle \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \xi \rangle_{\mathfrak{so}}$. Using $\text{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^t) = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$ equation (11) is easily verified. The components of \mathbf{J} are of course the ordinary angular momenta $L_{ik} = x_i y_k - x_k y_i$, and L_{ik} generates a rotation in the ik -plane.

When the symmetry Φ_g is applied to (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) the corresponding image of the Momentum mapping is transformed into

$$(\mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (g\mathbf{x})(g\mathbf{y})^t - (g\mathbf{y})(g\mathbf{x})^t = g(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^t - \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^t)g^t. \tag{12}$$

This in turn is just the (co)adjoint action of the group on the (dual of the) algebra and reads $\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^* \mu = g \mu g^{-1}$ for the orthogonal group. Hence \mathbf{J} is Ad^* -equivariant,

$$\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^* \circ \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g.$$

The value $\mu = 0$ is not weakly regular because $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(0)$ is not a smooth manifold (instead, it is a cone). For $\mu \neq 0$ the set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ is a submanifold of $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$, and the target space

$$T_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)} \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu) = \{(\alpha \mathbf{x}_0 + \beta \mathbf{y}_0, \gamma \mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha \mathbf{y}_0) \in T_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)}(T^*\mathbb{R}^m) : \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is identical to the kernel of $D\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ at any point $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0) \in \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$. When $m = 2, 3$ the rank of $D\mathbf{J}$ is full when $\mu \neq 0$, and so the value is regular. \square

3.2 Group orbits

All symmetry transformations applied to a point $p \in T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ produce the group orbit $G \cdot p = \{\Phi_g(p) : g \in G\}$ of p . It is isomorphic to the quotient of the full group $G = O(m)$ by the isotropy subgroup $G_p = \{g \in G : \Phi_g p = p\}$; the ‘motions’ that fix p have to be divided out. For convenience we define $O(0)$ to be one point.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$, then the G -orbit of p under the action Φ is isomorphic to*

$$G/G_p = O(m)/O(m-d) = V_{m,d} \quad (\text{Stiefel manifold})$$

where $d = \dim \text{span}\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$.

The Stiefel manifold $V_{m,k} = O(m)/O(m-k)$ is the set of k -dimensional orthonormal frames in \mathbb{R}^m .

Proof. The isotropy groups G_p are easily determined. There are three cases,

- $d = 2 : \mathbf{x} \not\parallel \mathbf{y} \implies G_p = O(m-2)$: the generic case,
- $d = 1 : \mathbf{x} \parallel \mathbf{y} \implies G_p = O(m-1)$: the singular case,
- $d = 0 : \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = 0 \implies G_p = O(m)$: the trivial case.

In the generic case the ‘motion’ takes place in the 2-dimensional plane spanned by \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . The isotropy group is the subgroup of isometries that fixes this plane. In the singular case the ‘motion’ takes place on a line, and G_p is the subgroup of isometries that fix this line. The group orbits of points p in these three cases are diffeomorphic to

- $d = 2 : G/G_p = O(m)/O(m-2) = V_{m,2}$: Stiefel manifold, $\dim V_{m,2} = 2m-3$,
- $d = 1 : G/G_p = O(m)/O(m-1) = V_{m,1} = S^{m-1}$: Sphere, $\dim S^{m-1} = m-1$,
- $d = 0 : G/G_p = \{\text{id}\}$: Point $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (0, 0)$. \square

Now fix the momentum $\mu = \mathbf{J}(p)$. When $p' = \Phi_g(p)$ runs through the orbit $G \cdot p$ of p then $\mu' = \mathbf{J}(p') = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*(\mu)$ by equivariance. The set of all such momenta is the group orbit $G \cdot \mu$ of μ under the coadjoint action Ad^* . It is isomorphic to G/G_μ where the isotropy subgroup is given by $G_\mu = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^*\mu = \mu\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *The G -orbit of $\mu \neq 0$ under the coadjoint action $\text{Ad}_g^*\mu = g\mu g^{-1}$ is isomorphic to*

$$G/G_\mu = O(m)/(SO(2) \times O(m-2)) = G_{m,2} \quad (\text{Graßmann manifold}).$$

The Graßmann manifold $G_{m,k} = O(m)/(SO(k) \times O(m-k))$ is the set of k -dimensional oriented subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m and can alternatively be defined as $SO(m)/(SO(k) \times SO(m-k))$.

Proof. First consider the case $m = 2$. Let $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and for $a \in O(2)$ require $ae = ea$. This implies that actually $a \in SO(2)$. For $m \geq 3$ note that any non-zero rank 2 antisymmetric matrix can be brought into the form $\text{diag}(e, 0)$. Hence it is enough to consider this special $\mu = \text{diag}(e, 0) \in \mathfrak{so}(m)^*$. The invariance $g\mu g^t = \mu$ then requires, writing g in block form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition to $a \in SO(2)$ this gives $b = c = 0$. Since $g \in O(m)$ the other block $d \in O(m-2)$. Conversely, any g of the form $\text{diag}(SO(2), O(m-2))$ leaves $\mu = \text{diag}(e, 0)$ invariant, hence $G_\mu = SO(2) \times O(m-2)$. The coadjoint orbit $G \cdot \mu \cong G/G_\mu$ is the Graßmannian $G_{m,2} = O(m)/(SO(2) \times O(m-2))$, the set of oriented 2-planes of \mathbb{R}^m . \square

Let H be a Φ -invariant Hamiltonian, $H \circ \Phi_g = H$ for any $g \in O(m)$. Then by Noether's theorem the flow φ_t^H of the Hamiltonian leaves \mathbf{J} invariant, $\mathbf{J} \circ \varphi_t^H = \mathbf{J}$. Hence, each component of \mathbf{J} is a constant of motion. All the components $L_{ik} = x_i y_k - x_k y_i$ are integrals of motion, but only $2m-3$ of them are independent, $\text{rank } \mathbf{J} = 2m-3$. Even when all components are independent (as for $m=3$) they do not all commute.

As an example consider the general Hamiltonian (10) with $m=3$, or the particular case of the motion in a central force field. As usual for $m=3$ the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)^*$ is identified with \mathbb{R}^3 . The generic initial condition (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) satisfies $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} \neq 0$ so that the motion takes place in the plane through the origin perpendicular to the angular momentum $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$. This plane is fixed by the reflection $g \in G_p = O(1)$. The group orbit of a point $p = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is $O(3)/G_p = SO(3)$. The angular momentum μ is not constant along this group orbit. It is only constant when $g \in G_\mu = SO(2) \times O(1)$, i.e. when we fix the axis given by the angular momentum. When other isometries act on μ the angular momentum takes on all possible values in the coadjoint orbit $O(3)/G_\mu = S^2$. This is the space of oriented planes in \mathbb{R}^3 . In the singular case the angular momentum vanishes and the line of motion is fixed by rotations about this line, while in the trivial case the origin is fixed by every rotation. In both cases the coadjoint orbit is not the correct notion for the analysis of the geometry since $\mu = 0$, see the singular reduction below.

3.3 Regular reduction

The regular reduction procedure is to fix a weakly regular momentum value $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and factor out the G_μ -action from the set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ of points that have fixed momentum μ and form the quotient

$$P_\mu = \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)/G_\mu.$$

For an abelian group we have $G_\mu = G$, while in the non-commutative case only dynamics associated to group elements g whose coadjoint action preserves μ is factored out, hence G_μ . The reduced symplectic manifold P_μ then is the space of G_μ -orbits in $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$. The dimension of the reduced space is given by

$$\dim P_\mu = \dim P - 2 \dim G/G_p + \dim G/G_\mu$$

where P is the original phase space. Thus, for $G = O(m)$ and $P = T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ we find

$$\dim P_\mu = 2m - 2(2m - 3) + (2m - 4) = 2.$$

The regular reduction for the $O(m)$ -action Φ is made effective by giving concrete models for the abstract reduced phase spaces.

Lemma 3.4. *The reduced space P_μ for $\mu \neq 0$ is diffeomorphic to the open half plane in \mathbb{R}^2 with global symplectic co-ordinates*

$$\xi = \|\mathbf{x}\| > 0, \quad \eta = \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} \rangle / \|\mathbf{x}\| \in \mathbb{R}$$

so that $[\xi, \eta] = 1$. The Φ -invariant Hamiltonian (10) becomes

$$\tilde{H}(\xi, \eta, J) = \hat{H}(\xi^2, \eta^2 + \frac{J^2}{\xi^2}, \xi\eta), \quad (13)$$

where the total angular momentum $J = \|\mathbf{J}\|_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \sqrt{W}$ is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}^t = \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq m} L_{ik}^2.$$

Proof. Since $\mu \neq 0$ we have $\xi > 0$. The half plane $\xi > 0$ is of course diffeomorphic (even symplectomorphic) to \mathbb{R}^2 . The co-ordinates (ξ, η) are symplectic since

$$[\xi, \eta] = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_i} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 = 1.$$

The identity

$$W = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} \rangle^2 = (\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \eta^2) \xi^2 \quad (14)$$

allows to eliminate $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ from \hat{H} and drop it to \tilde{H} on P_μ . The fibre of the reduction mapping from the submanifold of constant total angular momentum j ,

$$J^{-1}(j) = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^m : J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = j\}$$

to (ξ, η) is the Stiefel manifold $V_{m,2}$ found before. \square

For $m = 2$ the above reduction is equivalent to introducing the radius of polar co-ordinates in the plane. For fixed non-zero angular momentum radius zero is impossible, the line $\xi = 0$ is not part of the reduced space, and the reduction is regular.

Remark 3.5. The function W is a polynomial in the basic invariants, as opposed to $J = \sqrt{W}$. The square root is not differentiable at 0 and this difference between J and W becomes important in the singular reduction later on. From an algebraic point of view W is more fundamental, but from the symplectic point of view J is more fundamental, since J defines an action outside $\mu = 0$, i.e. J is a Hamiltonian with 2π -periodic flow when $\mu \neq 0$. In case $m = 2$ one may choose to reduce only the $SO(2)$ -action instead of the full $O(2)$ -action. This yields a fourth basic invariant L_{12} and the Hilbert basis ceases to be free as the basic invariants become related by the syzygy $L_{12}^2 + \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$, in particular $W = L_{12}^2$. The reason $m = 2$ is special is that J can be redefined as $J = \mathbf{J} = L_{12}$, which is polynomial and provides a smooth passage through the critical value $\mu = 0$.

Since we have many more integrals (namely $m(m-1)/2$, of which $2m-3$ are independent) the Hamiltonian H is superintegrable for $m \geq 3$. For a weakly regular value of the Energy-Momentum mapping

$$(H, \mathbf{J}) : T^*\mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{so}(m)^* \quad (15)$$

the pre-image is a two-dimensional set. It is a two-torus when compact, and there are two actions associated to it. One action is the total angular momentum J , the other comes from the reduced Hamiltonian. All the other $2m - 4$ dimensions do not take part in the dynamics. The semi-local situation is described by the following result.

Lemma 3.6. (Generalized action-angle co-ordinates) *The symplectic form on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ locally near a weakly regular value of the Energy-Momentum mapping (15) decomposes into*

$$\Omega = \Omega_\mu + d\psi \wedge dJ + \Omega_{G_\mu}$$

where $\Omega_\mu = d\xi \wedge d\eta$ is the symplectic structure on the reduced space P_μ , the angle ψ is conjugate to the action J , and Ω_{G_μ} is the symplectic structure on the coadjoint orbit space $G_{m,2}$. The reduced Hamiltonian (13) only depends on the co-ordinates (ξ, η, J) . For a generic invariant Hamiltonian a system of generalized action-angle co-ordinates in the sense of [26] is obtained by the action-angle co-ordinates of the reduced one-degree-of-freedom system in (ξ, η) and by (ψ, J) together with any set of symplectic co-ordinates on $G_{m,2}$.

Proof. Let us first of all check that J is an action, i.e. that its flow is 2π -periodic. Note that using (14)

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} J^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \mathbf{y} - \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} J^2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \mathbf{x} - \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}.$$

For each component Hamilton's equations for J therefore are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_i \\ \dot{y}_i \end{pmatrix} = SM \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \frac{1}{J} \begin{pmatrix} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 & -\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ -\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle & \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that SM is an involution, $(SM)^2 = -\text{id}$, which follows from $\det M = 1$, see (14). Hence the eigenvalues of SM are $\pm i$, and the 2π -periodic flow is

$$\Phi_J^t(x_i, y_i) = (\text{id} \cos t + SM \sin t) \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix},$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. The projection of the orbit to configuration space (or momentum space) is a circle in the plane spanned by the initial \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , with radius $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ (or $\|\mathbf{y}\|$). This follows because $(-\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{x} + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \mathbf{y})/J$ is orthogonal to \mathbf{x} and with length $\|\mathbf{x}\|$, similarly for the second row of SM and \mathbf{y} . The flow of J yields the angle ψ conjugate to J . The computation of the 2nd action depends on the Hamiltonian.

Since the reduced Hamiltonian has one degree of freedom and depends on the action J all the other co-ordinates belong to the symplectic manifold of co-ordinates that do not appear at all in the Hamiltonian. This symplectic manifold of ignorable symplectic co-ordinates is given by removing the periodic flow of J from the fibre of the reduction mapping, $V_{m,2}/S^1 = G_{m,2}$. Hence, the coadjoint orbit $G \cdot \mu \cong G_{m,2}$ with its symplectic structure (see, e.g., [21]) is this symplectic manifold. \square

Generalized action-angle co-ordinates in the sense of [26] are obtained from this construction when H is a generic $O(m)$ -invariant function, so that the generic motion has two frequencies. For special H almost every orbit is periodic, and in this case the construction needs to be modified.

In the picture developed in [11, 12] there is a two-dimensional meadow of actions (which globally can be replaced by the image of the Energy–Casimir mapping, see below), on which there are flowers whose petals are two-tori with co-ordinates given by the angles conjugate to the actions, and the centre of the flower carrying the petals is the coadjoint orbit $G \cdot \mu$. More precisely, the pre-image

$$\mathcal{F}_j = J^{-1}(j) = \bigcup_{\tilde{\mu} \in G \cdot \mu} \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}), \quad j = \|\mu\|$$

is mapped onto the centre of the flower, $\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{F}_j) = G_{m,2}$. Therefore the flower is a bundle over $G_{m,2}$, and following Nekhoroshev [26] the fibre of this mapping is \mathbb{T}^2 . Since the Nekhoroshev actions are only locally defined, some flowers are still missing in the global picture.

3.4 Singular reduction

All we have said so far is only valid for weakly regular values. To understand what happens near $\mu = 0$ we need to use singular reduction. Note that setting $J = 0$ in

the reduced Hamiltonian \hat{H} (13) does correctly describe reduced motion on a line in configuration space. However, it fails to describe how the neighbouring reduced systems with two degrees of freedom and $\mu \neq 0$ limit to this special case.

Lemma 3.7. *The reduced phase space P_μ is the image of the momentum level set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ under the Hilbert mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : T^*\mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &\longmapsto (V, T, S) \end{aligned}$$

where we put $V = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$, $T = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ and $S = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$. When $\mu \neq 0$ then $P_\mu \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, given by the sheet $V \geq 0$, $T \geq 0$ of the two-sheeted hyperboloid

$$2VT - \frac{1}{2}S^2 = \frac{1}{2}j^2, \tag{16}$$

where $j = \|\mu\|_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$. For $\mu = 0$ it is the half cone $2VT = \frac{1}{2}S^2$, with $V \geq 0, T \geq 0$. The fibres of χ are the Stiefel manifolds $V_{m,d}$ with $d = 2$ in the regular case and $d = 1$ or $d = 0$ for $\mu = 0$. The reduced phase space P_μ , $\mu \neq 0$ fixed, is the symplectic leaf of the Poisson structure on \mathbb{R}^3 with co-ordinates (V, T, S) satisfying the bracket relations

$$[V, T] = S, \quad [V, S] = 2V, \quad [T, S] = -2T$$

of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The function $W = J^2$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$ is a Casimir of this bracket. The reduced Hamiltonian \hat{H} is a function of V , T and S only, reading $\hat{H} = T + U(V)$.

Proof. For the computation of the brackets it is enough to compute e.g., $[x_i^2, x_i y_i] = 2x_i^2$, etc. The induced bracket on \mathbb{R}^3 with co-ordinates (S, T, V) (for which we use the same notation $[\dots]$) inherits the Jacobi identity. While one may straightforwardly compute as well that W is a Casimir with respect to this bracket, this also follows from $W : T^*\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ factoring through $\mathfrak{so}(m)^*$ by means of the Ad^* -invariant expression $W = \sum L_{ik}^2$. The symplectic leaves are given by the quadratic form (16), with the given relations. For $W = 0$ the reduced space is not a smooth manifold, but half of a cone (in general such a reduced phase space is a semi-algebraic variety). \square

Remark 3.8. The singular reduction for $\mu = 0$ leads to a reduced system on a non-smooth manifold, with a singular point at the tip of the cone $4VT = S^2$. An alternative description of this singular reduced phase space is obtained by first restricting to any two-dimensional subspace that is invariant under the dynamics of H , e.g. the (x_1, y_1) -plane defined by $x_i = y_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. The $O(m)$ -action Φ has a residual \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on this plane given by $\Phi(\pi) : (x_1, y_1) \mapsto (-x_1, -y_1)$. Therefore the singular reduced phase space can also be viewed as $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. This is e.g. the half-plane $y_1 \geq 0$ with the boundary $y_1 = 0$ identified with itself by means of $(x_1, 0) \sim (-x_1, 0)$, which again gives a half cone. The fixed point of the residual \mathbb{Z}_2 -action generated by $\Phi(\pi)$ is the origin $x_1 = y_1 = 0$ and projects to the singular point of the reduced phase space.

The relation to the regular reduction in Lemma 3.4 is that $(\xi, \eta) = (\sqrt{2V}, S/\sqrt{2V})$ are symplectic co-ordinates on any regular symplectic leaf. It is, however, not a co-ordinate system for $W = 0$. When $\mu = 0$ then $W = 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \parallel \mathbf{y}$ for every $p \in \mathbf{J}^{-1}(0)$. Hence the fibre over the smooth part of the cone P_0 is $V_{m,1} = S^{m-1}$. There is no dynamics on this sphere. When $m = 2$ this S^1 is part of a regular invariant two-dimensional torus for almost all values of the energy. For $m \geq 3$ this is not possible since in this case no S^{m-1} -bundle over S^1 is homeomorphic to an m -torus. The upshot is that monodromy can be defined when $m = 2$, but not when $m \geq 3$, see below for more details.

Combining the previous results we can now describe the global meadow of actions, together with its maximal dynamical tori and symplectic manifolds in which no dynamics takes place in the regular and singular cases.

Lemma 3.9. (Energy–Casimir Mapping) *The Energy–Casimir mapping*

$$\mathcal{EC} = (H, W) : T^*\mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

classifies the dynamics. When it has full rank the fibre is a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over $G_{m,2}$ (or a \mathbb{T}^1 -bundle over $V_{m,2}$). Where the rank is 1 we have a relative equilibrium and the fibre over this point is $V_{m,2}$, which is a \mathbb{T}^1 -bundle over $G_{m,2}$. In both cases the periodic flow of J gives an S^1 -action such that the centre of the flower is $V_{m,2}/S^1 = G_{m,2}$. For $\mu = 0$ the fibre of $\mathcal{EC}^{-1}(h, 0)$ is itself a ramified sphere bundle over the base space

$$\left\{ (V, T, S) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \hat{H}(V, T, S) = h, 2VT = \frac{1}{2}S^2, V \geq 0, T \geq 0 \right\}$$

with regular fibres $V_{m,1} = S^{m-1}$ and singular fibre $V_{m,0}$ (a single point) over $(V, T, S) = 0$.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. □

Note that we cannot say that the fibre over a regular point is $\mathbb{T}^2 \times G_{m,2}$, since the S^1 -bundle $V_{m,2}$ does not possess a global section. In fact, for $m = 3$ it is the Hopf fibration of $V_{3,2} = SO(3)$.

One way to view this classification result is to consider the two stage process of first considering the Energy–Momentum mapping and then the mapping of sums of squares from momentum space to \mathbb{R} that defines the dynamically relevant Casimir.

In $T^*\mathbb{R}^3$ all this comes down to a familiar picture. The situation is simplified by identifying $\mathfrak{so}(3)^*$ with \mathbb{R}^3 . The orbit $G \cdot \mu \cong G/G_\mu = G_{3,2} = S^2$ is the sphere with radius $j = \|\mu\|$ in momentum space. Already most of the group is involved in generating the coadjoint orbit, while only the S^1 of rotations about the axis μ actually generates dynamics. The motion in the configuration space \mathbb{R}^3 takes place in the plane orthogonal to μ , which is the projection of the set $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$. The flow of J generates an S^1 in this plane, and factoring this out of $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)$ gives the reduced space \mathbb{R}^2 . The set of all such planes is the coadjoint orbit.

For the critical value $0 \in \mathfrak{so}(3)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ the sphere shrinks to the point $G \cdot 0$. The group orbit $G \cdot p \subseteq T^*\mathbb{R}^3$ turns into the sphere $V_{3,1} = S^2$ encoding the common direction of $\mathbf{x} \parallel \mathbf{y}$. For $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ this sphere shrinks to a point as well.

In higher dimension the regular situation is similar, but more complicated by the fact that there are additional relations between the angular momenta that define the coadjoint orbit. These syzygies, together with $J = \text{const}$ define the coadjoint orbit $G \cdot \mu \cong G_{m,2}$ as a submanifold of momentum space. E.g. for $m = 4$ the single additional syzygy is the Plücker relation $L_{12}L_{34} + L_{14}L_{23} + L_{13}L_{24} = 0$. Such identities hold for any 4 indices when $m \geq 5$, but all such identities with terms $L_{ij}L_{kl}$, $i < j, k < l$ are not independent of each other on their common zero level set. As before $G_{m,2}$ is the space of all 2-dimensional oriented planes in \mathbb{R}^m . No dynamics takes place in this space. When $J > 0$ the dynamics in the invariant plane is described by (ξ, η) and the angle ψ conjugate to J .

4 Reduction of the degenerate Neumann System

The results of the last section are now applied to the action of a direct product of orthogonal groups. Many statements just go through because they hold separately for each factor of the group. The essential difference is that we are not starting from the symplectic manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with the standard symplectic structure $[\cdot, \cdot]$, but from the Poisson manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the Poisson structure $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ that has T^*S^n among its symplectic leaves. The most degenerate case of the Neumann system appears when all spring constants in the potential are equal. This is the case treated first.

4.1 $O(n+1)$ symmetry on S^n

When all coefficients in the Neumann system are equal the potential is constant because it is proportional to the Casimir C_1 of the Poisson structure (4). Hence, the Hamiltonian describes the geodesic flow on S^n with the induced metric from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Surprisingly little changes as compared to Section 3 when systems on S^n embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} are considered. The reason is that the constraining Casimirs are both invariants of the group action, in fact they are given by $C_1 = 2V$ and $C_2 = S$.

Actually things are much nicer for this action on T^*S^n , since it is “almost” free. The reason is that the origin $\mathbf{x} = 0$ is no longer part of the configuration space. Moreover, since $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$ on $T^*S^n \subseteq T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the only way to achieve $\mathbf{x} \parallel \mathbf{y}$ is to have $\mathbf{y} = 0$, i.e. no momentum at all. If we consider sufficiently high energy (or geodesic flows with non-zero energy from the start) this is impossible. The following theorem is well known, since it describes the geodesic flow on the sphere ; we have merely formulated it in the general framework developed here for the degenerate Neumann system.

Theorem 4.1. (Dirac Bracket and S^n) *Endowing $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the Dirac bracket (4) the additional Casimirs $V = \frac{1}{2}C_1$ and $S = C_2$ are among the three basic invariants V, T, S and the reduced brackets vanish identically. The reduced space merely is the point $H = T = J^2/2$. The only dynamics in phase space is that generated by J , it is motion along the great circle defined by the intersection of S^n and the plane spanned by \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . The quotient of the energy surface $\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in T^*S^n : H = h > 0\}$ by the flow of H is $J^{-1}(\sqrt{2h})/S^1 = G_{n+1,2}$.*

Proof. Brackets involving Casimirs are always zero, and $\{T, T\} = 0$ as well. When H is fixed then J is also fixed and if $H > 0$ then J assumes regular values. So the meadow for the flowers is just a single point when we fix the energy. For a geodesic flow a change of the energy merely re-parametrises the orbits. The petals of the flower are the one-dimensional tori parametrised by the angle conjugate to J . The symplectic leaves are given by the quotient of the energy surface by the action of J . For $H = 1/2$ the energy surface is the unit sphere bundle

$$T_1^*S^n = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1, \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0, \|\mathbf{y}\| = 1\} .$$

The flow generated by J with respect to the Dirac bracket is a rotation in the plane spanned by \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , as before (the original symplectic bracket $[J, C_i]$ with the Casimirs vanishes). Hence, the orbit is an (oriented) great circle. The set of all oriented great circles is the Graßmann manifold $G_{n+1,2}$, the set of oriented 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , whence

$$T_1^*S^n/S^1 = G_{n+1,2} .$$

The reversing symmetry $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto (\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{y})$ gives another orbit. These are two orbits corresponding to each 2-plane, one for each orientation. \square

The flow of H is the flow of J , up to scaling time by a constant. This is why the above result can also be obtained from Lemma 3.3.

4.2 The general degenerate case

Our main result is concerned with the more complicated case in which neither all coefficients of the potential are the same, nor all of them are different. For each group of m_σ equal coefficients there is an $O(m_\sigma)$ symmetry group acting on the space spanned by the axes of the equal coefficients. In fact the action is an $O(m_\sigma)$ -action on \mathbb{R}^{m_σ} , as described in Section 3. Since the axes of the groups of equal coefficients are different, the group action of the direct product of the $O(m_\sigma)$ is simply given by the direct product of their actions. The difference to Section 3 (besides the fact that now we have a direct product of orthogonal groups) is that we need to consider the Dirac bracket $\{.., ..\}$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} instead of the standard symplectic structure $[.., ..]$.

The reduction with respect to the action of the joint symmetry group is regular when the momentum of each component is non-zero. The total angular momentum for each group of equal coefficients is an Ad^* -invariant function. Such functions become Casimirs after dividing out the group. In addition there is a relation between these Casimirs that comes from the Casimirs C_1 and C_2 resulting from the original embedding of the sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Hence, we get a reduced system that has ℓ degrees of freedom: one for each group of equal coefficients minus one for the constraint to be on the sphere. The reduction is regular when all the fixed momenta are non-zero. In this case the reduction leads to a simple system with an effective potential. When some or all of the momenta are zero, singular reduction needs to be used. In this case the reduced system is embedded in a higher dimensional Euclidean space, and the reduced phase space is no longer a smooth manifold, though still a semi-algebraic variety.

Proposition 4.2. (Symmetry Group Action) *The Neumann system with $\ell + 1$ groups of equal coefficients with multiplicity $m_\sigma \geq 1$, $\sigma = 0, \dots, \ell$ is invariant under the symmetry group*

$$G = O(m_0) \times O(m_1) \times \dots \times O(m_\ell)$$

with action Φ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\sum m_\sigma = n + 1$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : G \times T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} &\longrightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \\ (g, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) &\longmapsto (g\mathbf{x}, g\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Here g is a block diagonal matrix from $G \subseteq O(n + 1)$.

Proof. This is clear from the structure of the degenerate Neumann system. Each group of m_σ equal coefficients $b_\sigma = a_i$, $i \in I_\sigma$ admits an $O(m_\sigma)$ symmetry acting on the coordinates x_i with $i \in I_\sigma$ and the corresponding momenta y_i . The sets I_σ are disjoint, hence the action is a direct product. \square

When the potential of the Neumann system is written in general form as $\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \rangle$ we see that the symmetry group is in fact the group that describes the ambiguity of the choice of a diagonalizing co-ordinate system when \mathbf{A} has multiple eigenvalues.

Theorem 4.3. (Momentum Mapping) *Denote by \tilde{G} the subgroup of G containing the factors $O(m_\sigma)$ with $m_\sigma \geq 2$ and denote the number of such factors by $\tilde{\ell} + 1$. The Momentum mapping \mathbf{J} of the Φ -action of $\tilde{G} \subset G$ is the direct product of the Momentum mappings of the individual factors for which $m_\sigma \geq 2$. It is Ad^* -equivariant with momentum $\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The isotropy groups are the direct products of the isotropy groups of Lemma 3.1. To each partial mapping corresponding to indices I_σ with $m_\sigma \geq 2$ there is a Casimir W_σ given by the Ad^* -invariant function*

$$W_\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i < k \in I_\sigma} L_{ik}^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Proof. Since each partial action of $O(m_\sigma)$ is as described in Lemma 3.1 this result immediately follows. When $m_\sigma = 1$ the corresponding group only has a discrete factor $O(1)$, which acts by reflection in configuration space leading to the π -rotation $(x_\sigma, y_\sigma) \mapsto (-x_\sigma, -y_\sigma)$. This discrete symmetry does not appear in the Momentum mapping. Hence, the momentum μ only contains the components for each group with $m_\sigma \geq 2$. \square

Remark 4.4. When $m_\sigma = 2$ it is also useful to consider $SO(2)$ reduction instead of the $O(2)$ reduction. The reason is that then L_{ik} , $i < k \in I_\sigma$ is among the invariants and $W_\sigma = L_{ik}^2$ can be replaced by $J_\sigma = L_{ik}$. In this way the action J_σ becomes a signed quantity that is differentiable also at $\|\mu_\sigma\| = 0$, because no square root needs to be extracted. This is particularly important at $\|\mu_\sigma\| = 0$ because then the fixed set of the discrete symmetry is accessible for the dynamics. It is not possible to define such signed J_σ when $m_\sigma \geq 3$ because then the plane of rotation cannot be defined when $\|\mu_\sigma\| = 0$, while for $m_\sigma = 2$ there only is one plane.

Denote by \mathcal{P}_σ the projection onto the co-ordinates with indices I_σ , so that $\mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{x}$ gives all the co-ordinates x_i with $i \in I_\sigma$, similarly $\mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{y}$ gives the corresponding momenta. In each such subspace we have the standard Eulidean scalar product and norm.

Theorem 4.5. (Regular Reduction) *Let each component of $\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be non-zero, hence the Casimir $W_\sigma \neq 0$ for each $m_\sigma \geq 2$. For each such σ define reduced co-ordinates by*

$$\xi_\sigma = \|\mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{x}\|, \quad \eta_\sigma = \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{y} \rangle}{\|\mathcal{P}_\sigma \mathbf{x}\|}.$$

When $m_\sigma = 1$ define $\xi_\sigma = x_\sigma$ and $\eta_\sigma = y_\sigma$. The reduction mapping R from (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) to $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ is a Poisson mapping, i.e. it preserves the Dirac bracket $\{\dots, \dots\}$. The G -reduced phase space is an open subset of T^*S^ℓ . The reduced Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \eta_\sigma^2 + V_\mu, \quad V_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} b_\sigma \xi_\sigma^2 + \frac{W_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma^2}. \quad (17)$$

where formally $W_\sigma \equiv 0$ when $m_\sigma = 1$.

Proof. The verification that this is a Poisson mapping can be done by direct computation. The reduction is as described in Lemma 3.4, now applied separately to each group of indices I_σ with $m_\sigma \geq 2$. The fact that the reduction does not lead to all of S^ℓ comes from the fact that $\xi_\sigma = 0$ is impossible when $\|\mu_\sigma\| \neq 0$. Nevertheless, the global Casimirs $C_1 = \sum \xi_\sigma^2 = 1$ and $C_2 = \sum \xi_\sigma \eta_\sigma = 0$ restrict the motion to the reduced phase space T^*S^ℓ . \square

Clearly, the reduced Hamiltonian (17) defines an integrable system on S^ℓ . This system was first studied by Rosochatius [29] who separated it in elliptical-spherical co-ordinates.

Proposition 4.6. (Generalized action-angle co-ordinates) *The Poisson structure (4) on $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ locally near a weakly regular value of the Energy–Momentum mapping (H, \mathbf{J}) has the bracket relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_\sigma, \eta_\tau\} &= \delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{\xi_\sigma \xi_\tau}{C_1}, & \sigma, \tau &= 0, \dots, \ell \\ \{\eta_\sigma, \eta_\tau\} &= \frac{\xi_\tau \eta_\sigma - \xi_\sigma \eta_\tau}{C_1}, & \sigma, \tau &= 0, \dots, \ell \\ \{\psi_\varsigma, J_\tau\} &= \delta_{\varsigma\tau}, & \varsigma, \tau &= 0, \dots, \tilde{\ell} \\ \{L_{ik}, L_{kl}\} &= L_{li}, & i, k, l &\in I_\sigma, \sigma = 0, \dots, \ell \end{aligned}$$

with all further bracket relations being zero. The reduced Hamiltonian (13) only depends on the co-ordinates (ξ, η, J) . A system of generalized action-angle co-ordinates in the sense of Nekhoroshev [26] is obtained by action-angle co-ordinates $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell, I_1, \dots, I_\ell)$ of the reduced system and by $(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{\tilde{\ell}}, J_0, \dots, J_{\tilde{\ell}})$ together with any sets of $\sum (2m_\sigma - 4)$ symplectic co-ordinates on the Graßmannians $G_{m_\sigma, 2}$ with $m_\sigma \geq 3$.

Proof. The proof of this is similar to that of Lemma 3.6. The difference is that here we work in the Poisson structure instead of in the symplectic structure. While this saves us

the work of specifying a local symplectic structure on T^*S^ℓ , the number $\frac{1}{2}m_\sigma(m_\sigma - 1)$ of global co-ordinates L_{ik} exceeds the dimension $2m_\sigma - 4$ of $G_{m_\sigma,2}$ and the syzygy

$$J_\sigma^2 = \sum_{i < k \in I_\sigma} L_{ik}^2$$

for $m_\sigma \geq 4$ is accompanied by increasingly more syzygies. The fact that J_σ has periodic flow with respect to the Dirac bracket can be proved as in Theorem 4.1. \square

By the separation of variables in the next section we give a local symplectic co-ordinate system on T^*S^ℓ and are then able to compute I_1, \dots, I_ℓ explicitly.

Theorem 4.7. (Energy–Casimir mapping, regular part) *The fibre over each weakly regular point of the Energy–Casimir mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{EC} : T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\ell}+2} \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &\mapsto (H, J_0, \dots, J_{\tilde{\ell}}) \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{h,j} = \mathcal{EC}^{-1}(h, j) = H^{-1}(h) \cap \bigcup_{\tilde{\mu} \in G \cdot \mu} \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}).$$

The structure of $\mathcal{F}_{h,j}$ is that of a double bundle in view of the two properties

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathcal{F}_{h,j}) = \prod_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} G_{m_\sigma,2}, \quad R(\mathcal{F}_{h,j}) = H^{-1}(h) \cap J^{-1}(j) \cap R(T^*S^n) = N_{h,j}^\ell$$

where R is the reduction mapping of Theorem 4.5. The fibre of each of the two mappings is a $\mathbb{T}^{\tilde{\ell}+1}$ bundle over the image of the other one, yielding the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}_{h,j} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ R & \mathbb{T}^{\tilde{\ell}+1} & \mathbf{J} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow & & \\ N_{h,j}^\ell & & \prod G_{m_\sigma,2} \end{array}$$

Relative equilibria are given by the critical points of the amended potential V_μ : $\xi_\sigma = 0$ for $m_\sigma = 1$ and $\xi_\sigma^2 = j_\sigma/\omega_\sigma$, $\omega_\sigma = \sqrt{b_\sigma - \beta}$ otherwise, where β is determined from $\sum \xi_\sigma^2 = 1$. The corresponding critical value of the Energy–Casimir mapping is

$$(h, j) = \left(\sum j_\sigma(\omega_\sigma + b_\sigma/\omega_\sigma), j \right).$$

There are no other critical values of \mathcal{EC} in the range

$$\left\{ (h, j) \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\ell}+1} : j_\sigma > 0 \forall_{\sigma=0, \dots, \tilde{\ell}} \right\}$$

of regular reduction.

Proof. The double bundle structure appears because we chose to treat the actions J that come from the group \tilde{G} apart from the actions I that appear in the reduced system. In the end we obtain a $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+\tilde{\ell}+1}$ -bundle, but for now we only understand the actions J because they are related to the Momentum mapping of \tilde{G} . In particular for the relative equilibria (relative to \tilde{G} !) this splitting is natural.

Relative equilibria are solutions of $\nabla H = \frac{1}{2}\beta\nabla C_1 + \delta\nabla C_2$. Since $C_2 = 0$ the last n components of these equations give $\boldsymbol{\eta} = 0$ and $\delta = 0$. Hence $\nabla_{\xi}H = \beta\xi$ needs to be satisfied. This leads to $\xi_{\sigma}b_{\sigma} - j_{\sigma}^2/\xi_{\sigma}^3 - \beta\xi_{\sigma} = 0$, which gives the result. The multiplier β is determined by the constraint $C_1 = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *The critical energy h of the relative equilibria is a convex function of the momenta j_{σ} .*

Proof. The function $h(j)$ is implicitly defined by $h = f(j, \beta)$ and $g(h, j, \beta) = 0$, where f is given by the Energy–Casimir mapping and g is given by the constraint. We are going to prove that the Hessian of $h(j)$ is positive semi-definite. By implicit differentiation we find

$$\partial_{j_{\sigma}}h = \partial_{j_{\sigma}}f - \partial_{\beta}f \frac{\partial_{j_{\sigma}}g}{\partial_{\beta}g} = \omega_{\sigma} + b_{\sigma}/\omega_{\sigma} - \beta/\omega_{\sigma} = 2\sqrt{b_{\sigma} - \beta} = 2\omega_{\sigma}$$

where the identity $\partial_{\beta}f/\partial_{\beta}g = \beta$ has been used. To compute the second derivative we therefore need the derivative of β . It is given by

$$\partial_{j_{\sigma}}\beta = -\frac{\partial_{j_{\sigma}}g}{\partial_{\beta}g} = -\frac{2}{\omega_{\sigma}} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell} \frac{j_{\nu}}{\omega_{\nu}^3} \right)^{-1}.$$

Combining the two first derivatives gives the entries of the Hessian as

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial j_{\sigma} \partial j_{\tau}} = \frac{2}{\omega_{\sigma} \omega_{\tau}} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\ell} \frac{j_{\nu}}{\omega_{\nu}^3} \right)^{-1}.$$

This is a rank one matrix with one positive eigenvalue, and hence $h(j)$ is a convex function. \square

In order to describe the invariant manifolds of the invariant sets contained in lower dimensional invariant Neumann systems defined by $j_{\sigma} = 0$ for some (or all) σ singular reduction is used.

Theorem 4.9. (Singular Reduction) *The Hilbert mapping χ of the G -action is the direct product of the Hilbert mappings of the individual factors $O(m_{\sigma})$, as given in Lemma 3.7. The reduction mapping $\chi : T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3(\ell+1)}$ is given by*

$$(\langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{y} \mid \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{y} \rangle, \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\mathbf{y} \rangle) = (2V_{\sigma}, 2T_{\sigma}, S_{\sigma})$$

with syzygies $2V_\sigma T_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}S_\sigma^2$ whenever $m_\sigma = 1$. The reduced brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{V_\sigma, T_\tau\} &= S_\tau(\delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{2V_\sigma}{C_1}), & \{V_\sigma, S_\tau\} &= 2V_\sigma(\delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{2V_\tau}{C_1}), \\ \{T_\sigma, S_\tau\} &= -2T_\sigma(\delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{2V_\tau}{C_1}), & \{T_\sigma, T_\tau\} &= \frac{2T_\sigma S_\tau - 2T_\tau S_\sigma}{C_1}, \\ \{S_\sigma, S_\tau\} &= 0, & \{V_\sigma, V_\tau\} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This bracket has rank 2ℓ and the $\tilde{\ell} + 3$ Casimirs

$$C_1 = 2 \sum V_\sigma, \quad C_2 = \sum S_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad W_\sigma = 4V_\sigma T_\sigma - S_\sigma^2.$$

From the latter we obtain at non-zero values the $\tilde{\ell} + 1$ actions $J_\sigma = \sqrt{W_\sigma}$. The reduced Hamiltonian of the degenerate Neumann system reads

$$\hat{H}(V, T, S) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} T_\sigma + b_\sigma V_\sigma. \quad (18)$$

Proof. The brackets with equal indices on the left hand side are found by direct calculation using (3). Only the additional terms need to be computed, for the $[\dots]$ brackets see Lemma 3.7. Since $C_1 = 2V$ and $C_2 = S$ the additional terms are also already known. The other brackets follow similarly by direct computation. \square

Remark 4.10. For the co-ordinates with $m_\sigma = 1$ we may as well keep the original x_σ, y_σ and avoid the $\ell - \tilde{\ell}$ syzygies. Note that the rank of the bracket remains 2ℓ with $\tilde{\ell} + 3$ Casimirs. All S_σ can be eliminated using the syzygies and fixing the values w_σ of the Casimirs W_σ , albeit at the cost of extracting a square root. The two sheets of $\pm S_\sigma$ are glued together smoothly at $S_\sigma = 0$. In addition using C_1, C_2 this projection of the reduced space is of dimension 2ℓ , the same as the dimension of the regularly reduced phase space.

5 The Rosochatius system

Regular reduction of the degenerate Neumann system leads to an open subset of T^*S^ℓ as described in Theorem 4.5. The reduced phase spaces $\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mu)/G_\mu$ can be interpreted as the regular symplectic leaves of $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/G$, which we embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3(\ell+3)}$ in Theorem 4.9. The remaining symplectic leaves of $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/G$ can be given an interpretation of “filling up the remaining part” of T^*S^ℓ , as already indicated in Remark 4.10.

Theorem 4.5 suggests to consider the following “unfolding” of the reduced Neumann system on $T^*S^\ell \subseteq T^*\mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$ with co-ordinates (ξ, η) satisfying the Dirac brackets (4) in dimension $2\ell + 2$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \eta_\sigma^2 + b_\sigma \xi_\sigma^2 + \frac{w_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma^2}, \quad (19)$$

where w_σ , $\sigma = 0, \dots, \ell$ are the unfolding parameters. When reducing the degenerate Neumann system we find $w_\sigma = j_\sigma^2 \geq 0$, and it is this same condition that is used in [29, 24, 19] to define the Rosochatius system on T^*S^ℓ . Since $b_0 < \dots < b_\ell$ the symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\ell+1} = O(1) \times \dots \times O(1)$ is discrete.

Theorem 5.1. *Singular reduction of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\ell+1}$ -symmetry of the Rosochatius system leads to the same reduced dynamics as the singularly reduced degenerate Neumann system. The Hilbert mapping $\chi : T^*\mathbb{R}^{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3(\ell+1)}$ is given by the basic invariants*

$$V_\sigma = \frac{\xi_\sigma^2}{2}, \quad T_\sigma = \frac{\eta_\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{w_\sigma}{2\xi_\sigma^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad S_\sigma = \xi_\sigma \eta_\sigma$$

which satisfy the Poisson bracket relations of Theorem 4.9 and turn the Hamiltonian (18) into (19).

Proof. This follows again by direct computation, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \{T_\sigma, T_\tau\} &= \eta_\sigma \eta_\tau \frac{\xi_\tau \eta_\sigma - \xi_\sigma \eta_\tau}{C_1} + \eta_\sigma \frac{w_\tau}{\xi_\tau^3} \left(\delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{\xi_\sigma \xi_\tau}{C_1} \right) - \frac{w_\sigma}{\xi_\sigma^3} \eta_\tau \left(\delta_{\sigma\tau} - \frac{\xi_\sigma \xi_\tau}{C_1} \right) \\ &= \frac{2T_\sigma \cdot S_\tau - 2T_\tau \cdot S_\sigma}{C_1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In this way the Rosochatius system is a 2^ℓ -fold covering of the (singularly) reduced degenerate Neumann system.

Remark 5.2. For coefficients b_σ of the degenerate Neumann system with $m_\sigma = 1$ we may keep the original x_σ, y_σ variables ; for these indices $w_\sigma = 0$ and the Rosochatius system is a $2^{\ell+1}$ -fold covering of the resulting reduced system with \tilde{G} reduced instead of G . For coefficients b_σ of the degenerate Neumann system with $m_\sigma = 2$ we may similarly choose to reduce with respect to the $SO(2)$ -factor instead of the $O(2)$ -factor. In particular, if $m_0 = \dots = m_\ell = 2$ then singular reduction of the degenerate Neumann system with respect to the subgroup $SO(2) \times \dots \times SO(2)$ of $G = O(2) \times \dots \times O(2)$ yields the (unreduced) Rosochatius system, as had already been remarked in [24, 19].

5.1 Separation

In this section we consider the reduced system in its own right and separate the Hamiltonian of the Rosochatius system in elliptical-spherical co-ordinates. Note that for the integrability the condition $w_\sigma \geq 0$ is not needed. However, the dynamics with $w_\sigma < 0$ is completely different as then there are solutions that blow up in finite time.

Theorem 5.3. *The Neumann system on S^ℓ with additional potential $\frac{1}{2} \sum w_\sigma / \xi_\sigma^2$ can be separated in elliptical-spherical co-ordinates u_i . The general solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations is*

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \int^{u_i} \frac{\zeta}{A(z)} dz$$

where $A(z) = \prod(z - b_\sigma)$ and the integral is defined on the real part of the hyperelliptic curve

$$\Gamma = \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \zeta^2 = -Q(\rho; z)A(z) + \tilde{Q}(b, w; z)\}$$

of genus ℓ . The separation constants are ρ_i in

$$Q(\rho; z) = z^\ell + 2\rho_1 z^{\ell-1} + \cdots + 2\rho_\ell$$

where ρ_1 is the value of the energy. The polynomial \tilde{Q} is given by

$$\tilde{Q}(b, w; z) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} w_\sigma \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma}^{\ell} (z - b_\tau)(b_\sigma - b_\tau).$$

Proof. The separation proceeds as in the case without additional potential, see [23]. Starting from the Lagrangian in spherical co-ordinates defined by

$$f(z) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \frac{\xi_\sigma^2}{z - b_\sigma} = 0,$$

the co-ordinates are the roots u_i , $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ of the rational function $f(z) = 0$. Because the b_σ are different, and $f(z)$ has a pole of first order at each b_σ the graph of $f(z)$ shows that the roots u_i satisfy

$$b_0 \leq u_1 \leq b_1 \leq \cdots \leq u_\ell \leq b_\ell.$$

The denominator of the rational function $f(z)$ is the polynomial $A(z)$. Define the polynomial in the numerator of $f(z)$ as $U(z) = \prod(z - u_i)$. Then we have

$$\xi_\sigma^2 = \text{Res}_{z=b_\sigma} f(z) dz = \frac{U(b_\sigma)}{A'(b_\sigma)}.$$

From the standard approach, see [8] for the details, we know that after introducing the momentum p_i conjugate to u_i the kinetic energy and original Neumann-potential energy read as

$$T(u, p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{p_i^2}{g_i(u)} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{g_i(u)} = -4 \frac{A(u_i)}{U'(u_i)},$$

$$V(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} b_\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i.$$

The additional potential V_w in terms of u becomes

$$V_w(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} w_\sigma \frac{A'(b_\sigma)}{U(b_\sigma)}$$

so that

$$H(u, p) = T(u, p) + V(u) + V_w(u) \quad (20)$$

with the Hamilton–Jacobi equation taking the familiar form $H(u, \partial S/\partial u) = \rho_1$. The general solution for S depends on ℓ integration constants. We write the Hamilton–Jacobi equation as $0 = \sum h(u_i, p_i)/U'(u_i)$ where $h(u_i, p_i)$ only depends on u_i and p_i . The kinetic energy $T(u, p)$ already has this form. To achieve this form for $V(u)$ and ρ_1 the following two identities due to Jacobi are used, see Appendix A. For any polynomial U of degree ℓ with roots u_i we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{u_i^{\ell}}{U'(u_i)}.$$

If in addition we have a polynomial $P(z) = \rho_1 z^{\ell-1} + \dots + \rho_{\ell}$ with arbitrary coefficients then

$$\rho_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{P(u_i)}{U'(u_i)}.$$

It remains to write $V_w(u)$ in the desired form. Consider the partial fraction decomposition of ξ_{σ}^{-2} with respect to b_{σ} ,

$$\frac{1}{\xi_{\sigma}^2} = \frac{A'(b_{\sigma})}{U(b_{\sigma})} = A'(b_{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)(b_{\sigma} - u_i)}.$$

Now exchange the summation over i in this formula and σ in V_w to find

$$V_w = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} w_{\sigma} \frac{A'(b_{\sigma})}{b_{\sigma} - u_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(b, w; u_i)}{2A(u_i)}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(b, w; u_i) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \frac{w_{\sigma}}{b_{\sigma} - u_i} A(u_i) A'(b_{\sigma}).$$

Hence, every term in (20) is proportional to $1/U'(u_i)$ (up to the constant $c = \frac{1}{2} \sum b_{\sigma}$) and H reads as

$$H(u, p) = c + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)} \left(-2A(u_i)p_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_i^{\ell} + \frac{\tilde{Q}(b, w; u_i)}{2A(u_i)} \right).$$

The Hamilton–Jacobi equation $H(u, \partial S/\partial u) = \rho_1$ becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)} h(u_i, \partial S/\partial u_i),$$

where after shifting ρ_1 by c we find

$$h(u_i, p_i) = -2A(u_i)p_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_i^\ell - P(u_i) + \frac{\tilde{Q}(b, w; u_i)}{2A(u_i)}.$$

To complete the proof define

$$Q(\rho; z) = z^\ell + 2P(z) = z^\ell + 2\rho_1 z^{\ell-1} + \cdots + 2\rho_\ell,$$

and the general solution of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation depending on ℓ separation constants $\rho_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \int^{u_i} \frac{\zeta(z)}{A(z)} dz,$$

where ζ is found by solving $h(z, \zeta/(2A(z))) = 0$. To make the integral well-defined in the presence of the square root it is defined on the hyperelliptic curve given by

$$\zeta^2 = -Q(\rho; z)A(z) + \tilde{Q}(b, w; z). \quad (22)$$

□

Remark 5.4. The genus of the curve Γ is ℓ , which is unchanged by introduction of w_σ since QA has degree $2\ell + 1$ while \tilde{Q} only has degree ℓ . Hence for the reduced system the genus equals ℓ , which is the number of different coefficients minus one.

When $\ell = 0$ the curve is algebraic, this corresponds to the Neumann system with all axes equal, hence it is the geodesic flow on the sphere with all orbits closed, see Section 4.1.

When $\ell = 1$ the curve is elliptic and explicitly given by (recall from reduction that w_σ is the value of J_σ^2)

$$\zeta^2 = -(z + 2\rho_1)(z - b_0)(z - b_1) + J_0^2(z - b_1)(b_0 - b_1) + J_1^2(z - b_0)(b_1 - b_0).$$

If $J_0 = J_1 = 0$ the Neumann system on the circle is recovered.

When $\ell = 2$ the curve is hyperelliptic, containing the classical Neumann system on the sphere as a special case for $J_0 = J_1 = J_2 = 0$.

Remark 5.5. For $\ell = 1$ the curve given in the previous remark is the same as for the Lagrange top. This equivalence is classical and has first been described by Klein & Sommerfeld [15]: when the spherical Lagrange top is described on the double covering $SU(2) \cong S^3$ of $SO(3)$ then the equations of motion of the Lagrange top are those of the Neumann system with potential $b_0(x_0^2 + x_1^2) + b_1(x_2^2 + x_3^2)$, hence $\ell = 1, m_0 = m_1 = 2$. As a result we can conclude that this degenerate Neumann system has Hamiltonian monodromy, inherited from the monodromy of the Lagrange top [4].

The relation between the Lagrange top on $SO(3)$ and the degenerate Neumann system on S^3 is interesting because the action of the symmetry group \mathbb{T}^2 in the Lagrange top is not free, although the constituting $SO(2)$ -actions are both free, while for the Neumann system each $SO(2)$ -action already has the trivial fixed points. The resulting period lattice for the Lagrange top is generated by $\frac{1}{2}(J_0 \pm J_1)$, and not by J_0, J_1 . This is an expression of the fact that $SO(3)$ has half the volume of S^3 , which covers it twice.

5.2 Actions and Frequencies

The degenerate Neumann system has up to $\ell + 1$ global actions J_σ , and for simplicity during the rest of this section we assume that this maximal number is realised, i.e. $\tilde{\ell} = \ell$ and all $m_\sigma \geq 2$. In addition to the $\tilde{\ell} + 1$ actions J_σ the separation of variables of the reduced system gives ℓ non-trivial actions I_i . The integration proceeds between the branch points of the hyperelliptic curve Γ . Since the co-ordinates u cover only one $2^{\ell+1}$ -tant of the sphere S^ℓ the signs of the variables ξ, η need to be recovered. This is very similar to the non-degenerate Neumann system, see [8] for the details. Recovering the sign is crucial when some or all J_σ vanish because then the co-ordinate planes can be crossed and hence a passage to another $2^{\ell+1}$ -tant takes place. The co-ordinate ξ_σ becomes zero when $u_i = b_\sigma$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Consequently, when one of the endpoints of the segment $[z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}]$ is equal to b_σ then the co-ordinate ξ_σ changes sign along the cycle c_i encircling this segment of positive ζ in the complex z -plane. This means that the cycle γ_i on the Liouville torus corresponds to the cycle c_i taken twice. This proves the following result.

Theorem 5.6. *The non-trivial actions of the reduced degenerate Neumann system are given by*

$$I_i = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_i} \frac{\zeta}{A} dz = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_i} \left(-Q + \frac{\tilde{Q}}{A} \right) \frac{dz}{\zeta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\gamma_i} \left(-Q + \sum w_\sigma \frac{A'(z)}{z - b_\sigma} \right) \frac{dz}{\zeta},$$

where the integration path γ_i equals c_i if the segment $[z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}]$ contains none of b_0, \dots, b_ℓ and $\gamma_i = 2c_i$ otherwise. \square

When the Neumann system is reduced by the discrete symmetry group of reflection of each co-ordinate then for the reduced system the non-trivial action is always given by the integral over c_i .

Remark 5.7. The actions I_i are hyperelliptic integrals of the third kind, with poles at $z = b_\sigma$ (recall that we assumed $m_\sigma \geq 2$ for all σ). In the non-degenerate Neumann system the actions are given by hyperelliptic integrals of the second kind [8]. Consider as the integration path a circle \tilde{c}_σ around the pole $z = b_\sigma$. Then

$$J_\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\tilde{c}_\sigma} \frac{\zeta}{A(z)} dz.$$

In this sense the hyperelliptic curve “knows” not only about I_i but also about the trivial actions J_σ . The reason for this is the identity

$$\zeta^2(b_\sigma) = \tilde{Q}(b, w; b_\sigma) = w_\sigma \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} (b_\sigma - b_\tau)^2$$

so that the residue of the action integrand at $z = b_\sigma$ is the action $\sqrt{-w_\sigma}$. This is a common phenomenon: if there are simple actions (e.g. actions that are generators of a

global symmetry) then the non-trivial actions of the system are abelian integrals of the third kind and the integration around the poles gives the trivial actions or multiples thereof.

The integrals I_1, \dots, I_ℓ depend on the separation constants ρ_i and on the trivial actions J_σ . Next to the values $j = (j_0, \dots, j_\ell)$ of these we also write $h = (h_1, \dots, h_\ell)$ where h_1 is the value of the energy and h_i are the values of the other smooth constants of motion H_i not related to the symmetry group G . These may e.g. be the values of $\ell - 1$ of the $\ell + 1$ integrals (7), or the separation constants ρ_2, \dots, ρ_ℓ . The action mapping \mathcal{A} then takes a regular value $(h, j) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$ and assigns the actions $\mathcal{A} = (I, J)$. The period lattice and the frequencies are determined by the derivatives of \mathcal{A} . The vector fields of the actions (written as a vector) can be expressed in terms of the vector fields of the $2\ell + 1$ functions (H, J) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_I \\ X_J \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} X_H \\ X_J \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial I}{\partial H} & \frac{\partial I}{\partial J} \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial H} & \frac{\partial J}{\partial J} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix T describes the period lattice of the integrable system. The frequencies of the Hamiltonian flows of X_{H_i} and X_{J_σ} are denoted by $\Omega_i, \Omega_{\ell+\sigma+1}$ and taken as row vectors they form the frequency matrix Ω . By definition the frequencies of a constant of motion are the coefficients of the decomposition of its vector field in terms of the vector fields of the actions, hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_H \\ X_J \end{pmatrix} = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} X_I \\ X_J \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore $\Omega = T^{-1}$ and in particular

$$X_{H_1} = \Omega_1 \begin{pmatrix} X_I \\ X_J \end{pmatrix},$$

so that the frequencies Ω_1 of the Hamiltonian are the entries in the first row of $\Omega = T^{-1}$, hence $\Omega_1 = T^{-t} \mathbf{e}_1$.

In the present case the matrix T has a simple block structure caused by the trivial actions J_σ , namely

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial I}{\partial H} & \frac{\partial I}{\partial J} \\ 0 & \text{id}_{\ell+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the frequency matrix is given by

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial H}\right)^{-1} & -\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial H}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial I}{\partial J} \\ 0 & \text{id}_{\ell+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The $(\ell+1)$ -dimensional identity matrix expresses the fact that J consists of global smooth actions, and hence each of their flows has frequency one.

A particularly simple case occurs when the reduced system has one degree of freedom, $\ell = 1$. Then the upper left block is a scalar which is also the first entry in the frequency vector Ω_1 . Frequency ratios are formed by dividing Ω_1 by one of its entries, so the scalar $\partial H / \partial I$ cancels and using the first entry the two frequency ratios are simply $\frac{\partial I}{\partial J_0}$ and $\frac{\partial I}{\partial J_1}$.

6 Global structure of the flow

Let us first comment on some special properties that appear when the multiplicity m_σ of eigenvalues of the quadratic potential in the Neumann system is small.

$m_\sigma = 1$. In this case the eigenvalue $a_\nu = b_\sigma$ is not equal to any of the other eigenvalues of the quadratic potential. The factor $O(1)$ of the symmetry group G is discrete and does not yield a component of the Momentum mapping \mathbf{J} — we formally set $J_\sigma \equiv 0$. Furthermore it may be preferable not to reduce with respect to this discrete factor, as this only leads to singular points without the reward of lowering the number of degrees of freedom. See also Remarks 4.10 and 5.2.

$m_\sigma = 2$. In this case reduction lowers the number of degrees of freedom by one, but 2 equal eigenvalues of the potential do not yet contribute to a possible superintegrability of the degenerate Neumann system. In particular, this means that we may observe monodromy by only reducing the $SO(2)$ -factor and not the whole $O(2)$ -factor. This keeps the sign in the value of the Casimir which we rather choose to be $\mathbf{J}_\sigma = L_{ik}$ than $J_\sigma = \sqrt{L_{ik}^2}$. In this way it becomes possible to find a closed non-contractible loop around a critical value of the Energy–Momentum mapping, which is located on the boundary of the image of the Energy–Casimir mapping. See also Remarks 3.5, 4.4, 5.2, and 6.4 below.

$m_\sigma = 3$. As soon as we have at least one group of three (or more) equal eigenvalues a_ν of the potential, the degenerate Neumann system becomes superintegrable. Still, as long as there are no groups of four or more equal eigenvalues the weakly regular values of the Momentum mapping \mathbf{J} are in fact regular values — there are no syzygies constraining the L_{ik} .

$m_\sigma = 4$. This case is still distinguished from the general $m_\sigma \geq 5$ in that the Graßmannian $G_{4,2} \subseteq J_\sigma^{-1}(\|\mu_\sigma\|) \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(4)$ is a hypersurface of $J_\sigma^{-1}(\|\mu_\sigma\|)$, defined by the zero set of the second global Casimir $L_{12}L_{34} + L_{14}L_{23} + L_{13}L_{24}$ (next to $W_\sigma = L_{12}^2 + L_{13}^2 + L_{14}^2 + L_{23}^2 + L_{24}^2 + L_{34}^2$) of $\mathfrak{so}(4)$.

6.1 Integral–Casimir Mapping

In order to describe another aspect of the reduced degenerate Neumann system we introduce yet another mapping \mathcal{I} in addition to \mathcal{EM} and \mathcal{EC} considered in Section 4. The name Integral–Casimir mapping captures the fact that there are two different kinds of conserved quantities in the system ; those that come from the G -action and those that do not. The momenta of the G -action yield Casimirs for $m_\sigma \geq 2$. The other integrals (and of course the energy) are simply conserved quantities that are not related to the symmetry group G .

Regular reduction together with separation of variables describes the system when all $J_\sigma > 0$ and when the motion stays outside the singularities of the separating co-ordinate

system. Singularities of the separating co-ordinate system occur when one of the roots u_i of (22) is equal to b_j , or when $u_i = u_{i+1}$ for some i . Hence, whenever the hyperelliptic curve Γ has no double roots the motion on the corresponding torus is well described by the elliptical-spherical co-ordinates. To find the candidates where the Integral–Casimir mapping $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{C}$ does not have full rank we can therefore simply study the discriminant locus of the hyperelliptic curve.

Lemma 6.1. *The discriminant locus for the reduced Neumann system with all $w_\sigma \neq 0$ is topologically a 2^ℓ -tant without any internal structure.*

Proof. The position of the roots of the hyperelliptic curve Γ is such that no root can be located at b_σ because setting $z = b_\sigma$ in \tilde{Q} gives

$$\tilde{Q}(b_\sigma) = -w_\sigma A'(b_\sigma)^2 \neq 0,$$

which implies that all the roots $z = b_\sigma$ for $w_\sigma = 0$ are not roots any more when $w_\sigma \neq 0$. For a real motion there must be a positive interval for each u_i between b_{i-1} and b_i . Hence there must be two roots in each such interval. This uses up 2ℓ roots already. The remaining root must be to the left of b_0 , because $\zeta^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ for $z \rightarrow -\infty$. Now all pairs of roots bounding the u_i intervals are separated by some b_σ , and hence for $w_\sigma \neq 0$ the only possible collision is within a pair, leading to $u_i = u_{i+1}$. Making k such pairs collide gives a corank k degenerate value in the image of the Energy–Momentum mapping. The corank k critical values give the $(\ell - k)$ -dimensional faces of the 2^ℓ -tant. Making all pairs collide gives the corank ℓ elliptic (relative) equilibrium point of the reduced system. This corresponds to the origin of the 2^ℓ -tant. \square

For $\ell = 2$ the discriminant locus of the curve of the reduced system is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= -s + \sum_{\sigma=0}^2 w_\sigma^2 \frac{A'(b_\sigma)}{2(s - b_\sigma)^2} \\ \rho_2 &= s^2 + \sum_{\sigma=0}^2 w_\sigma^2 \frac{A'(b_\sigma)}{(s - b_\sigma)} \left(1 + \frac{b_\sigma}{2(s - b_\sigma)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When $w_\sigma = 0$ then in addition the straight line given by $Q(b_\sigma) = 0$ needs to be added to the discriminant locus.

6.2 Convexity

The simple discriminant loci described in the previous Lemma 6.1 are the fibres over J -space. In this view the J -space is the parameter space for the reduced system. Instead of the discriminant locus of the reduced system we now study the J -space as the image of the Casimir mapping of the full system. More precisely, we consider the image of the Momentum mapping of the $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+1}$ -action on phase space given by J , for short the Casimir

mapping. To obtain a compact image we fix the energy, and study the set of possible values j of J .

For each j there is a unique elliptic (relative) equilibrium of the reduced system. This corresponds to the origin of the 2^ℓ -tant of Lemma 6.1, and there is a corresponding energy. Fixing instead the energy and asking for all equilibria with any value j gives the boundary of the image of the Casimir mapping restricted to constant energy.

Theorem 6.2. *The image of the Energy–Casimir mapping is a convex set for sufficiently large h .*

Proof. It is important to use the actions J_σ as co-ordinates in the image, not their squares. The result follows from the explicit parametrisation of the discriminant locus. We equate $-QA + \tilde{Q}$ to $-(z-s)^2(z-r_1)\dots(z-r_{2\ell-1})$ and solve for j_σ and ρ_i . This leads to

$$\rho_1 = -s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} b_\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell-1} r_k$$

and

$$j_\sigma = \frac{b_\sigma - s}{A'(b_\sigma)} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{2\ell-1} (b_\sigma - r_k) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consider now a parametrisation of the corank ℓ stratum of the discriminant locus Σ , which gives the relative equilibria which mark the boundary of the image of the Casimir mapping. Hence we parametrise by the ℓ double roots, s_k , $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ and find as a special case of the above formula the values where r_k are made pairwise equal and r denotes the remaining single root

$$j_\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{b_\sigma - r}}{A'(b_\sigma)} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} (b_\sigma - s_k)$$

and at the same time $\rho = \rho(s_k, r)$. Since ρ_1 is linear in r we can eliminate r in favour of the value $h = \rho_1$ of the Hamiltonian and the sum of the s_k . Also we introduce the symmetric functions t_k of the s_k and find

$$j_\sigma = \frac{\omega_\sigma}{A'(b_\sigma)} (b_\sigma^\ell + b_\sigma^{\ell-1} t_1 + \dots + t_\ell), \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_\sigma^2 = h + b_\sigma - 2t_1.$$

The parametrisation is linear in t_k for all $k > 1$.

For sufficiently large energy the boundary of the image of the Energy–Casimir mapping is given by the critical values $j_\sigma(h, t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$. This implicitly defines $h_c(j_0, \dots, j_\ell)$, the value of the critical energy as a function of the momenta. By implicit differentiation we compute the gradient of the energy

$$\frac{\partial h_c}{\partial j_\sigma} = 2\omega_\sigma$$

and the Hessian as

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_c}{\partial j_\sigma \partial j_\tau} = 2 \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\omega_\sigma \omega_\tau}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\ell} (h - 2t_1)^{\ell-\tau} (-1)^\tau t_\tau, \quad \mathcal{O} = \prod_{\tau=0}^{\ell} \omega_\tau^2.$$

This is a rank 1 symmetric matrix with non-zero eigenvalue

$$\lambda = 2 \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\omega_\sigma^2}$$

whose eigenvector is $(1/\omega_0, \dots, 1/\omega_\ell)$. As a result the Taylor expansion of the critical energy is

$$h_c(j + \Delta) = 2 \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \omega_\sigma \Delta_\sigma + 2 \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} \frac{\Delta_\sigma}{\omega_\sigma} \right)^2 + O(\Delta^3).$$

If $\mathcal{P} > 0$ then the Hessian is positive semi-definite, and the boundary of the image of the Energy–Casimir mapping is the graph of a convex function, and hence the image is a convex set. Now $b_i < s_{i+1} < b_{i+1}$, and with $t_1 = -\sum s_i$ we find

$$B - b_0 < -t_1 < B - b_\ell, \quad B = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell} b_\sigma.$$

Since all ω_σ must be real, we conclude that the image is convex if the energy is sufficiently large, namely when $h > -2(B - b_\ell) - b_0$. Rewriting t_i in the roots s_i gives

$$\mathcal{P} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (h + s_i + 2S), \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} s_i.$$

From the above $h + 2S > -2(B - b_\ell) - b_0 + 2B - 2b_0 = 2b_\ell - 3b_0$ and therefore $h + s_k + 2S > 2b_\ell - 2b_0 > 0$ and $P > 0$ as desired. \square

Theorem 6.3. *For sufficiently large fixed energy the image of the Casimir mapping J given by the $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+1}$ -action is convex. For $h \rightarrow \infty$ the boundary tends to a convex polyhedron.*

Proof. Since we have shown that $h_c(j_0, \dots, j_\sigma)$ is a convex function, and h_c is the boundary of the image of the Energy–Casimir mapping for $h > -2(B - b_\ell) - b_0$, every slice of constant energy through this convex set is again a convex set. This set is the image of the Casimir mapping applied to the surface of constant energy. In the limit $h \rightarrow \infty$ it becomes a convex polyhedron when rescaled by \sqrt{h} . This amounts to setting $\omega_\sigma = 1$ in the limit, and so the non-linearity disappears. Even for finite h the boundary has zero curvature for $\ell \geq 2$. In fact the boundary is a ruled surface for $\ell = 2$ and a higher dimensional analogue with an $\ell - 1$ dimensional plane attached at each point of the hypersurface. \square

Remark 6.4. The above statement about convexity becomes particularly nice in the case that all $m_\sigma = 2$. Then the J_σ can all be chosen to be signed quantities, and are defined also for $J_\sigma = 0$, so that there is a global $\mathbb{T}^{\ell+1}$ -action on phase space. In this way the convex set extends through the faces $J_\sigma = 0$. Note that this convexity result is obtained even though the phase space is not compact. The compactness is achieved by restricting to the compact energy surfaces $h = \text{const}$.

Acknowledgement

We like to thank Chris Davison for carefully reading the manuscript. Furthermore we thank the Mathematics Institute at the University of Warwick (where this work was begun) and the Centre Interfacultaire Bernoulli at the EPF Lausanne (where this work was nearly finished) for their hospitality. This research was partially supported by the European Research Training Network *Mechanics and Symmetry in Europe* (MASIE), HPRN-CT-2000-00113. HRD was supported in part by ARC grant DP110102001.

A Jacobi Trick

Let

$$U(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - u_i)$$

be a polynomial of degree ℓ with *distinct* roots u_i . Then the derivative of U evaluated at the root u_k is

$$U'(u_k) = \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{\ell} (u_k - u_i).$$

This gives a way to exclude one factor in U and thus the partial fraction decomposition of $1/U$ is

$$\frac{1}{U(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{U'(u_i)(z - u_i)}.$$

The identity (the use of which is sometimes called Jacobi's trick)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{u_i^{\ell}}{U'(u_i)}$$

follows from calculation of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{z^{\ell}}{U(z)} dz$$

along a contour that is a sufficiently large circle that encloses all poles u_i . The left hand side is found by calculation of the residue at infinity, while the right hand side is found from the sum over the finite residues. In a similar way the identity

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{u_i^{\ell-1}}{U'(u_i)}$$

can be obtained. Lowering the exponent further the residue at infinity vanishes. Jacobi's trick is used in the separation of variables in order to introduce the separation constants. For powers of z greater than ℓ higher order symmetric functions of the roots are found.

References

- [1] *M.F. Atiyah* : Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians ; *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14**(1), p. 1–15 (1982)
- [2] *M.F. Atiyah* : Angular momentum, convex polyhedra and algebraic geometry ; *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., Ser.2* **26**(2), p. 121–133 (1983)
- [3] *L. Bates, M. Zou* : Degeneration of Hamiltonian monodromy cycles ; *Nonlinearity* **6**(2), p. 313–335 (1993)
- [4] *R.H. Cushman and L.M. Bates* : *Global Aspects of Classical Integrable Systems* ; Birkhäuser (1997)
- [5] *C.M. Davison and H.R. Dullin and A.V. Bolsinov* : Geodesics on the Ellipsoid and Monodromy ; *J. Geom. Phys.* **57**, p. 2437–2454 (2007)
- [6] *C.M. Davison and H.R. Dullin* : Geodesic flow on three dimensional ellipsoids with equal semi-axes ; *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* **12**, p. 172–197 (2007)
- [7] *R.L. Devaney* : Transversal homoclinic orbits in an integrable system ; *Amer. J. Math.* **100**, p. 631–642 (1978)
- [8] *H.R. Dullin, P.H. Richter, A.P. Veselov and H. Waalkens* : Actions of the Neumann system via Picard–Fuchs equations ; *Physica D* **155**, p. 159–183 (2001)
- [9] *K. Efsthathiou* : *Metamorphoses of Hamiltonian systems with symmetries* ; LNM **1864**, Springer (2005)
- [10] *N.W. Evans* : Superintegrability in classical mechanics ; *Phys. Rev. A* **41**(10), p. 5666–5676 (1990)
- [11] *F. Fassò* : The Euler–Poincot top : A non-commutatively integrable system without global action-angle coordinates ; *ZAMP* **47**, p. 953–976 (1996)
- [12] *F. Fassò* : Superintegrable Hamiltonian systems : Geometry and Perturbation ; p. 93–121 in *Symmetry and Perturbation Theory, Cala Gonone 2004* (ed. G. Gaeta) *Acta Appl. Math.* **87** (2005)
- [13] *V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg* : Convexity properties of the moment mapping ; *Invent. Math.* **67**(3), p. 491–513 (1982)
- [14] *M. Kibler, P. Winternitz* : Periodicity and quasi-periodicity for super-integrable Hamiltonian systems ; *Phys Lett. A* **147**(7), p. 338–342 (1990)
- [15] *F. Klein, A. Sommerfeld* : *Über die Theorie des Kreisels* ; Teuber (1910)
- [16] *H. Knörrer* : Geodesics on quadrics and a mechanical problem of C. Neumann ; *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **334**, p. 69–78 (1982)

- [17] *H. Knörrer* : Singular fibres of the momentum mapping for integrable Hamiltonian systems ; *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **355**, p. 67–107 (1985)
- [18] *E. Lerman* : Contact toric manifolds ; *J. Symplectic Geom.* **1**(4), p. 785–828 (2003)
- [19] *A.J. Macfarlane* : The quantum Neumann model with the potential of Rosochaatius ; *Nucl. Phys. B* **386**, p. 453–467 (1992)
- [20] *J.E. Marsden, A. Weinstein* : Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry ; *Rep. Math. Phys.* **5**(1), p. 121–130 (1974)
- [21] *J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu* : *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry* ; Springer (1994)
- [22] *A.S. Miščenko, A.T. Fomenko* : A Generalized Liouville method for the integration of Hamiltonian systems ; *Functional Anal. Appl.* **12**, p. 113–121 (1978)
- [23] *J. Moser* : Various aspects of integrable Hamiltonian systems ; *Prog. Math.* **8**, p. 233–289 (1980)
- [24] *J. Moser* : Geometry of Quadrics and Spectral Theory ; p. 147–188 in *The Chern Symposium 1979* (eds. W.-Y. Hsiang et al.) Springer (1980)
- [25] *J. Moser* : Integrable Hamiltonian systems and spectral theory ; *Lezioni Fermiane [Fermi Lectures]*. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (1983)
- [26] *N.N. Nehorošev* : Action–angle variables and their generalizations ; *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* **26**, p. 180–198 (1972)
- [27] *C. Neumann* : De problemate quodam mechanico, quod ad primam integralium ultraellipticorum classem revocatur (Diss. Regiomonti 1856) ; *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **56**, p. 46–63 (1859)
- [28] *T. Ratiu* : The C. Neumann problem as a completely integrable system on an adjoint orbit ; *Trans. Amer. Maths. Soc.* **264**(2), p. 321–329 (1981)
- [29] *E. Rosochaatius* : *Über die Bewegung eines Punktes* ; Inaugural Dissertation Göttingen, Unger (1877)
- [30] *R. Sjamaar* : Convexity properties of the moment mapping re-examined ; *Adv. Math.* **138**(1), p. 46–91 (1998)
- [31] *A. P. Veselov* : Two remarks about the connection of Jacobi and Neumann integrable systems ; *Math. Z.* **216**(3), p. 337–345 (1994)
- [32] *Zhangju, Liu* : A note on the C. Neumann Problem ; *Acta Math. Appli. Sinica* **8**(1), p. 1–5 (1992)