

Homework 10, Models of Intuitionism — Bobby Vos & Ruben Meuwese

Exercise 1

Prove lemma 1 of Lifschitz (1979): there exists a unary partial recursive function α such that for every e , if $|V_e| = 1$ then $\alpha(e)$ is defined and $\alpha(e) \in V_e$. (2 points)

Exercise 2

In this exercise we examine two instances in which $\mathbf{HA} + \mathbf{CT}_0$ contradicts classical logic.

a) Show that, for an appropriate choice of formula $A(x)$, the sentence $\forall x(\neg A(x) \vee \neg\neg A(x))$ is not derivable in $\mathbf{HA} + \mathbf{CT}_0$. (2.5 points)

For the second contradiction, the following may prove useful:

b) Show that the sets $A = \{x \mid \exists y(Txxy \wedge U(y) = 0)\}$ and $B = \{x \mid \exists y(Txxy \wedge U(y) = 1)\}$ are recursively inseparable. (2.5 points)

Now, we have the following:

c) Show that, for an appropriate choice of functions α, β , the sentence

$$\forall x(\neg(\exists y(\alpha(x, y) = 0) \wedge \exists y(\beta(x, y) = 0)) \rightarrow \neg\exists y(\alpha(x, y) = 0) \vee \neg\exists y(\beta(x, y) = 0))$$

is not derivable in $\mathbf{HA} + \mathbf{CT}_0$. (3 points)