

# Seminar on Set Theory

## HANDOUT 3

October 2, 2015

---

### 1 Induction principle for $V^{(B)}$

We can prove that for any formula  $\phi(x)$ ,

$$\forall x \in V^{(B)} [\forall y \in \text{dom}(x) \phi(y) \rightarrow \phi(x)] \rightarrow \forall x \in V^{(B)} \phi(x).$$

### 2 Definition of truth value map $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^B$

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be  $B$ -sentences. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \sigma \wedge \tau \rrbracket^B &= \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^B \wedge \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^B; \\ \llbracket \neg \sigma \rrbracket^B &= (\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^B)^*. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\phi(x)$  is a  $B$ -formula with one free variable  $x$  such that  $\llbracket \phi(u) \rrbracket^B$  has been defined for all  $u \in V^{(B)}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket^B &= \bigvee_{u \in V^{(B)}} \llbracket \phi(u) \rrbracket^B; \\ \llbracket \sigma \vee \tau \rrbracket^B &= \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^B \vee \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^B; \\ \llbracket \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket^B &= \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket^B \Rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^B; \\ \llbracket \forall x \phi(x) \rrbracket^B &= \bigwedge_{u \in V^{(B)}} \llbracket \phi(u) \rrbracket^B. \end{aligned}$$

Defining the Boolean truth values of the atomic formulas ( $u = v$ ,  $u \in v$ ) is more difficult. Rewrite:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket u = v \rrbracket^B &= \llbracket \forall x \in u [x \in v] \wedge \forall y \in v [y \in u] \rrbracket^B; \\ \llbracket u \in v \rrbracket^B &= \llbracket \exists y \in v [u = y] \rrbracket^B. \end{aligned}$$

We require that formulas with bounded quantifiers have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \exists x \in u \phi(x) \rrbracket^B &= \bigvee_{x \in \text{dom}(u)} [u(x) \wedge \llbracket \phi(x) \rrbracket^B]; \\ \llbracket \forall x \in u \phi(x) \rrbracket^B &= \bigwedge_{x \in \text{dom}(u)} [u(x) \Rightarrow \llbracket \phi(x) \rrbracket^B]. \end{aligned}$$

We use the equations above and recursion on a well-founded relation to use as a definition

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket u \in v \rrbracket^B &= \bigvee_{y \in \text{dom}(v)} [v(y) \wedge \llbracket u = y \rrbracket^B]; \\ \llbracket u = v \rrbracket^B &= \bigwedge_{x \in \text{dom}(u)} [u(x) \Rightarrow \llbracket x \in v \rrbracket^B] \\ &\quad \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in \text{dom}(v)} [v(y) \Rightarrow \llbracket y \in u \rrbracket^B]. \end{aligned}$$

## Recursion on well-founded relations

The principle of recursion on a well-founded relation  $R$  is the assertion that if  $F$  is any class of ordered pairs, which defines a single-valued mapping of  $V$  into  $V$  (such a class is called a function on  $V$ ), then there is a function  $G$  on  $V$  such that

$$\forall u[G(u) = F(\langle u, G \upharpoonright Ru \rangle)].$$

### $V^{(B)}$ respects the axioms of first-order logic

**Theorem 2.1.** *The axioms and rules of inference of first-order logic hold in  $V^{(B)}$ . In particular, we have for all  $u, v, w \in V^{(B)}$ ,*

1.  $\llbracket u = u \rrbracket = 1$ ;
2.  $u(x) \leq \llbracket x \in u \rrbracket$  for  $x \in \text{dom}(u)$ ;
3.  $\llbracket u = v \rrbracket = \llbracket v = u \rrbracket$ ;
4.  $\llbracket u = v \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket v = w \rrbracket \leq \llbracket u = w \rrbracket$ .

### $V^{(B)}$ can be used to prove relative consistency to ZF

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T, T'$  be extensions of ZF such that  $\text{Consis}(\text{ZF}) \rightarrow \text{Consis}(T')$ , and suppose that in  $\mathcal{L}$  we can define a constant term  $B$ , such that*

$$T' \vdash \text{“}B \text{ is a Boolean algebra”},$$

and for each axiom  $\tau \in T$ , we have

$$T' \vdash \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^B = 1_B.$$

Then  $\text{Consis}(\text{ZF}) \rightarrow \text{Consis}(T)$ .