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## 1. Linear nonautonomous equations

- Consider two adjoint linear ODEs

$$
\dot{v}=A(t) v, \dot{w}=-A^{*}(t) w, \quad v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is continuous and ${ }^{*}$ denotes transpose.

- The fundamental matrix solutions:

$$
\dot{M}=A(t) M, \quad M(0)=I_{n},
$$

and

$$
\dot{N}=-A^{*}(t) N, \quad N(0)=I_{n} .
$$

One has: $N(t)=\left[M^{-1}(t)\right]^{*}$.

- The solution of the linear ODE

$$
\dot{v}=A(t) v+b(t), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

with continuous $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t) & =M(t) v(0)+\int_{0}^{t} M(t) M^{-1}(\tau) b(\tau) d \tau \\
& =M(t) v(0)+\int_{0}^{t} M(t) N^{*}(\tau) b(\tau) d \tau \\
& =M(t)\left[v(0)+\int_{0}^{t} N^{*}(\tau) b(\tau) d \tau\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Monodromy matrices of limit cycles

- Consider a smooth ODE system

$$
\dot{u}=f(u, \alpha), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

A cycle with period $T$ at a parameter value $\alpha$ corresponds to a solution $x \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of the BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}-T f(x, \alpha) & =0, \\
x(1)-x(0) & =0 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- Monodromy matrix:

$$
\dot{\Phi}(t)-T f_{x}(x(t), \alpha) \Phi(t)=0, \quad \Phi(0)=I_{n}
$$

The eigenvalues $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$, and $\mu_{n}=1$ of $\Phi(1)$ are the multipliers of the cycle.

- Adjoint monodromy matrix:
$\dot{\psi}(t)+T f_{x}^{*}(x(t), \alpha) \Psi(t)=0, \quad \Psi(0)=I_{n}$, where * denotes transpose.
- One has: $\Psi(t)=\left[\Phi^{-1}(t)\right]^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi(1)-I_{n}\right) q_{0}=\left(\Psi(1)-I_{n}\right) p_{0}=0 \\
& \left(\Phi(1)-I_{n}\right)^{*} p_{0}=\left(\Psi(1)-I_{n}\right)^{*} q_{0}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $q_{0}^{*} q_{0}=p_{0}^{*} p_{0}=1$. Notice that $q_{0}=$ $c_{0} \dot{x}(0)$ with $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{0} \neq 0$.

## 3. Regular cycles and simple bifurcations

Def. 1 A cycle is called regular if $\mu_{n}=1$ has geometric multiplicity 1.

Def. 2 A cycle bifurcation is called simple if only the following critical multipliers are present:

- LPC: $\mu_{1}=\mu_{n}=1$ with algebraic multiplicity 2 and geometric multiplicity 1

$$
\left(\Phi(1)-I_{n}\right) q_{1}=q_{0}, \quad\left(\Psi(1)-I_{n}\right) p_{1}=p_{0} .
$$

- PD: $\mu_{1}=-1$ and $\mu_{n}=1$ both with algebraic multiplicity 1

$$
\left(\Phi(1)+I_{n}\right) q_{2}=0, \quad\left(\Psi(1)+I_{n}\right) p_{2}=0
$$

- NS: $\mu_{1,2}=e^{ \pm i \theta_{0}}$ and $\mu_{n}=1$ all with algebraic multiplicity 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi(1)-\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{0}} I_{n}\right)\left(q_{3}+i q_{4}\right)=0, \\
& \left(\Psi(1)-\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{0}} I_{n}\right)\left(p_{3}+i p_{4}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\left(I_{n}-2 \kappa \Phi(1)+\Phi^{2}(1)\right) q_{3,4}=0$ where $\kappa=\cos \theta_{0}$.

## 4. Defining systems for codim 1 bifurcations

- LPC and PD: $(x, T, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(\tau)-T f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \quad \tau \in[0,1] \\
x(0)-x(1) & =0 \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), x(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0 \\
G[x, T, \alpha] & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- NS: $(x, T, \alpha, \kappa) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(\tau)-T f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \quad \tau \in[0,1] \\
x(0)-x(1) & =0 \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), x(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0 \\
G_{11}[u, T, \alpha, \kappa] & =0 \\
G_{22}[u, T, \alpha, \kappa] & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

When the linearized system is nonsingular at a solution, this solution can be continued w.r.t. another parameter, thus obtaining a bifurcation curve in the $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$-plane.

- There exist $v_{01}, w_{01} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), w_{02} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $v_{02}, w_{03} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{1}: \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
N_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) & w_{01} \\
\delta_{0}-\delta_{1} & 0 & w_{02} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0 & w_{03} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{v_{01}} & v_{02} & 0
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

is one-to-one and onto near a simple LPC bifurcation point.

- Define $G$ by solving

$$
N_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
v \\
S \\
G
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- "Classical" form:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \dot{v}(\tau)-T f_{x}(x(\tau), \alpha) v(\tau) \\
&-S f(u(\tau), \alpha)+G w_{01}(\tau)=0, \\
& v(0)-v(1)+G w_{02}=0, \\
& \int_{0}^{1}\langle f(x(\tau), \alpha), v(\tau)\rangle d \tau+G w_{03}=0, \\
& \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle v_{01}(\tau), v(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau+S v_{02}=1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Lemma 1 If $(x(\cdot), T, \alpha)$ corresponds to a regular cycle then the operator

$$
M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) \\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

from $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ is one-to-one if the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 1. If the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 2, i.e. at a simple LPC, then $M_{1}$ has a one-dimensional kernel, spanned by the vector

$$
\binom{v}{1} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where

$$
v(t)=\frac{c_{0}}{T} \Phi(t)\left(c_{2} q_{0}-\left(q_{1}-t q_{0}\right)\right)
$$

and $c_{2}$ is determined by the condition that

$$
q_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau)\left[c_{2} q_{0}-\left(q_{1}-\tau q_{0}\right)\right] d \tau=0
$$

while $c_{0}$ is such that $\dot{x}(0)=c_{0} q_{0}$.

Proof: Consider the homogeneous equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) \\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0
\end{array}\right]\binom{v}{S}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From the first row we have

$$
\dot{v}-T f_{x}(x(t), \alpha) v=S f(x(t), \alpha)
$$

implying

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t) & =\Phi(t)\left[v(0)+S \int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{*}(\tau) f(x(\tau), \alpha) d \tau\right] \\
& =\Phi(t)\left[v(0)+\frac{S}{T} \int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{*}(\tau) \dot{x}(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
& =\Phi(t)\left[v(0)+\frac{S}{T} \int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d \tau \dot{x}(0)\right] \\
& =\Phi(t)\left[v(0)+\frac{S t}{T} \dot{x}(0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Psi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau)=I_{n}$ and $\dot{x}(t)=\Phi(t) \dot{x}(0)$.

From the second row we have

$$
0=v(1)-v(0)=(\Phi(1)-I) v(0)+\frac{S}{T} \dot{x}(0)
$$

or

$$
(\Phi(1)-I) v(0)=-\frac{S}{T} \dot{x}(0)
$$

Because $\dot{x}(0)=c_{0} q_{0}$ for some $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{0} \neq 0$, we must solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Phi(1)-I) v(0)=-c_{0} \frac{S}{T} q_{0}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}$ spans the kernel of $\Phi(1)-I$.

If the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 1 then we must have $S=0, v(0)=c_{1} q_{0}$, and hence $v(t)=c_{1} \Phi(t) q_{0}$. From the third row,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{1} f^{*}(x(\tau), \alpha) v(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{1} \dot{x}^{*}(\tau) v(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{1}[\Phi(\tau) \dot{x}(0)]^{*} c_{1} \Phi(\tau) q_{0} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
c_{0} c_{1} q_{0}^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d \tau\right) q_{0}=0
$$

from which it follows that $c_{1}=0$. Thus $v(t) \equiv 0$. It follows that the operator $M_{1}$ is one-to-one.

At a simple fold the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 2. In this case (1) is also solvable if $S$ is nonzero, namely

$$
v(0)=-c_{0} \frac{S}{T} q_{1}+c_{2} q_{0}
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary. The third row then implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{1} \dot{x}^{*}(\tau) v(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \dot{x}^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau)\left[v(0)+\frac{S \tau}{T} \dot{x}(0)\right] d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}[\Phi(\tau) \dot{x}(0)]^{*} \Phi(\tau)\left[-c_{0} \frac{S}{T} q_{1}+c_{2} q_{0}+\frac{S \tau}{T} c_{0} q_{0}\right] d \tau \\
& =c_{0} q_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau)\left[-c_{0} \frac{S}{T} q_{1}+c_{2} q_{0}+\frac{S \tau}{T} c_{0} q_{0}\right] d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
c_{2}=\frac{c_{0} S q_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau)\left[q_{1}-\tau q_{0}\right] d \tau}{T q_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{*}(\tau) \Phi(\tau) d \tau q_{0}} .
$$

Take now $S=1$ and $v(t)=\Phi(t) v(0)$ to get a null-vector of $M_{1}$.

Lemma 2 Let $(x(\cdot), T, \alpha)$ corresponds to a regular cycle and consider the operator

$$
M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha)  \tag{2}\\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

from $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$. If the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 1 , then $M_{1}$ is onto.

If it has algebraic multiplicity 2, i.e., at a simple LPC, then the range of $M_{1}$ has codimension 1 and the vector

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Psi_{p_{0}}  \tag{3}\\
-p_{0} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

is complementary to the range space.

Proof: Consider a vector

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\omega
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

It is in the range of $M_{1}$ if and only if there exists

$$
\binom{v}{S} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) \\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0
\end{array}\right]\binom{v}{S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\xi \\
\eta \\
\omega
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The first row implies that

$$
v(t)=\Phi(t)\left[v(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{*}(\tau)(\xi(\tau)+S f(x(\tau), \alpha)) d \tau\right] .
$$

The second row then implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta= & v(1)-v(0) \\
= & (\Phi(1)-I) v(0)+ \\
& \Phi(1) \int_{0}^{1} \Psi^{*}(\tau)(\xi(\tau)+S f(x(\tau), \alpha)) d \tau \\
= & (\Phi(1)-I) v(0)+ \\
& \Phi(1) \int_{0}^{1} \Psi^{*}(\tau) \xi(\tau) d \tau+\frac{S c_{0}}{T} q_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\eta=(\Phi(1)-I) v(0)+\frac{S c_{0}}{T} q_{0}+\Phi(1) \int_{0}^{1} \Psi^{*}(\tau) \xi(\tau) d \tau
$$

If 1 is an algebraically simple eigenvalue of $\Phi(1)$ then $q_{0}$ is not in the range of $(\Phi(1)-I)$. For given $\xi$ and $\eta$, equation (4) can be solved for $v(0)$ and $S$. Moreover, the solution is unique up to the addition of a scalar multiple of $q_{0}$ to $v(0)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(\dot{x}(\tau))^{*} \Phi(\tau) q_{0} d \tau & =c_{0} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi(\tau) q_{0}\right)^{*} \Phi(\tau) q_{0} d \tau \\
& \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

the scalar is determined uniquely by the third row of the main system.

If 1 is an algebraically double eigenvalue of $\Phi(1)$, i.e., at a simple LPC point, then (4) is solvable if and only if

$$
p_{0}^{*} \eta=p_{0}^{*} \int_{0}^{1} \Psi^{*}(\tau) \xi(\tau) d \tau
$$

If so, the third row of the main system again determines the solution uniquely.

Lemma 3 If $(x(\cdot), T, \alpha)$ corresponds to a regular cycle then the operator

$$
M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D+T f_{x}^{*}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) \\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

from $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ is one-to-one and onto if the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 1.

If the multiplier 1 has algebraic multiplicity 2, i.e., at a simple LPC, then $M_{2}$ has a one-dimensional kernel, spanned by

$$
\binom{\Psi^{*} p_{0}}{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

while its range has codimension 1, and the vector

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

is complementary to the range space.

Th. 1 Let $(x(\cdot), T, \alpha)$ corresponds to a simple LPC, i.e., $\Phi(1)$ has eigenvalue 1 with algebraic multiplicity 2. Then there exist $v_{01}, w_{01}, v_{11}, w_{11}$ $\in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), w_{02}, v_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{03}, v_{02}, v_{13}, w_{12} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ such that operator

$$
N_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & -f(x, \alpha) & w_{01} \\
\delta_{1}-\delta_{0} & 0 & w_{02} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{f(x, \alpha)} & 0 & w_{03} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{v_{01}} & v_{02} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

from $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is one-to-one and onto.

Proof: We choose

$$
\binom{v_{01}(t)}{v_{02}}=\binom{v(t)}{1},
$$

where $v$ is given in the statement of Lemma 1. Further we set

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
w_{01}(t) \\
w_{02} \\
w_{03}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Psi^{*}(t) p_{0} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By Lemmas 1 and 3, $N_{1}$ is one-to-one and onto.

## PD-computation

- There exist $v_{01}, w_{01} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and $w_{02} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{2}: \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}, \\
N_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & w_{01} \\
\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} & w_{02} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{v_{01}} & 0
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

is one-to-one and onto near a simple PD bifurcation point.

- Define $G$ by solving

$$
N_{2}\binom{v}{G}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- The BVP for $(v, G)$ can be written in the "classical form"

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{v}(\tau)-T f_{x}(x(\tau), \alpha) v(\tau)+G w_{01}(\tau) & =0, \\
v(0)+v(1)+G w_{02} & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle v_{01}(\tau), v(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =1 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

NS-computation

- There exist $v_{01}, v_{02}, w_{11}, w_{12} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,2], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and $w_{21}, w_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that
$N_{3}: \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([0,2], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{0}\left([0,2], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
N_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
D-T f_{x}(x, \alpha) & w_{11} & w_{12} \\
\delta_{0}-2 \kappa \delta_{1}+\delta_{2} & w_{21} & w_{22} \\
\operatorname{Int}_{v_{01}} & 0 & 0 \\
\operatorname{Int}_{v_{02}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

is one-to-one and onto near a simple NS bifurcation point.

- Define $G_{j k}$ by solving the 3-point BVP

$$
N_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
G_{11} & G_{12} \\
G_{21} & G_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- At the NS-cycle: $\kappa=\cos \theta$.


## 5. Remarks

- After discretization via orthogonal collocation, all linear BVPs for G's have sparsity structure that is identical to that of the linearization of the BVP for limit cycles.
- For each defining system holds: Simplicity of the bifurcation + Transversality $\Rightarrow$ Regularity of the defining BVP, allowing for the two-parameter continuation with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
- Jacobian matrix of each (discretized) defining BVP can be efficiently computed using adjoint linear BVP.
- Border adaptation using solutions of the adjoint linear BVPs.
- Actually implemented in MATCONT.
- Maximally augmented BVPCPs (AUTO)

LPG:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(\tau)-T f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \\
x(1)-x(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), x(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0, \\
\dot{v}(\tau)-T f_{x}(x(\tau), \alpha) v(\tau)-S f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \\
v(1)-v(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), v(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle v_{0}(\tau), v(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau+S^{2}-1 & =0 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

PD:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(\tau)-T f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \\
x(1)-x(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), x(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0, \\
\dot{v}(\tau)-T f_{x}(x(\tau), \alpha) v(\tau) & =0, \\
v(1)+v(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle v_{0}(\tau), v(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau-1 & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

NS:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(\tau)-T f(x(\tau), \alpha) & =0, \\
x(1)-x(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\dot{x}_{0}(\tau), x(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau & =0, \\
\dot{w}(\tau)-T f_{x}(x(\tau), \alpha) w(\tau) & =0, \\
w(1)-e^{i \theta} w(0) & =0, \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle w_{0}(\tau), w(\tau)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} d \tau-1 & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

