Lecture 4: Bordering technique. Detection of limit and branching points.

4.1 Bordering technique I

Consider a smooth one-parameter family of $N \times N$ matrices A(s), such that A(0) is singular with rank A(0) = N - 1.

Lemma 9 The matrix

$$M(0) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A(0) & p \\ q^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

where $A(0)q = A^{T}(0)p = 0$ with ||q|| = ||p|| = 1, is nonsingular.

Proof:

Suppose that

$$M(0)\left(\begin{array}{c}X\\\beta\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}\right)$$

with $X \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X\\ \beta \end{array}\right) \neq \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0 \end{array}\right).$$

This is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} A(0)X + \beta p = 0, \\ \langle q, X \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.21)

Computing the scalar product of the first equation in (4.21) with p, we obtain

$$0 = \langle p, A(0)X + \beta p \rangle = \langle A^{\mathrm{T}}(0)p, X \rangle + \beta \langle p, p \rangle = \beta ||p||^{2} = \beta,$$

where $A^{\rm T}(0)p = 0$ is taken into a coount. We conclude that $\beta = 0$ and so the first equation in (4.21) actually has the form

$$A(0)X = 0.$$

This implies that $X = \gamma q$ with some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting $X = \gamma q$ in the second equation of (4.21), we see that

$$\langle q, \gamma q \rangle = \gamma ||q||^2 = \gamma = 0,$$

yielding X = 0. Thus

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X\\ \beta \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0 \end{array}\right),$$

a contradiction. Therefore, M(0) is nonsingular.

Lemma 9 ensures by continuity that the matrix

$$M(s) = \begin{pmatrix} A(s) & p \\ q^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.22)

is nonsingular for all s with |s| sufficiently small. For such values of s, introduce the nonsingular **bordered system**:

$$M(s) \begin{pmatrix} w \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.23)

At s = 0, the explicit solution to this system is obvious:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}w(0)\\g(0)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}q\\0\end{array}\right).$$

Thus, g(0) = 0. If

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w\\g\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} w(s)\\g(s)\end{array}\right)$$

28

_	

4.1. BORDERING TECHNIQUE I

is the solution of (4.23), then Cramer's rule gives

$$g(s) = \frac{\det A(s)}{\det M(s)} , \qquad (4.24)$$

implying that g(s) is as smooth as A(s). The following lemma shows how the derivative $\dot{g}(0)$ can be computed explicitly.

Lemma 10 It holds that

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\langle p, \dot{A}(0)q \rangle$$
.

Proof:

Differentiating (4.23) w.r.t. s yields

$$\dot{M}(s) \left(\begin{array}{c} w(s)\\ g(s) \end{array}\right) + M(s) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{w}(s)\\ \dot{g}(s) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$

implying

$$M(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{w}(0)\\ \dot{g}(0) \end{array}\right) = -\dot{M}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} w(0)\\ g(0) \end{array}\right).$$

Thus,

$$M(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{w}(0)\\ \dot{g}(0) \end{array}\right) = -\dot{M}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} q\\ 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Further notice that the transposed matrix

$$M^{\mathrm{T}}(0) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A^{\mathrm{T}}(0) & q\\ p^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

is also nonsingular, so that the linear system

$$M^{\mathrm{T}}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi\\ h \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1 \end{array}\right) \tag{4.26}$$

has the unique solution, namely

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi\\h\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}p\\0\end{array}\right).$$

Computing now the scalar product of this solution with both sides of (4.25), we obtain

$$\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ 0 \end{array}\right), M(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{w}(0)\\ \dot{g}(0) \end{array}\right) \right\rangle = -\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ 0 \end{array}\right), \dot{M}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} q\\ 0 \end{array}\right) \right\rangle$$
$$\left\langle M^{\mathrm{T}}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{w}(0)\\ \dot{g}(0) \end{array}\right) \right\rangle = -\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ 0 \end{array}\right), \dot{M}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} q\\ 0 \end{array}\right) \right\rangle .$$

Taking into account (4.26), we see that

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ 0 \end{array} \right), \dot{M}(0) \left(\begin{array}{c} q\\ 0 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$$

Since

$$\dot{M}(0) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \dot{A}(0) & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

we get

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\langle p, \dot{A}(0)q \rangle$$

This complets the proof.

4.2 Detection of local bifurcations

Consider a system of ODEs depending on one parameter

$$\dot{u} = f(u, \alpha), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.27)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is smooth. The continuation of a branch in its equilibrium manifold leads to ALCP (3.7) with

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c} u\\ \alpha \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

and $F(x) = f(u, \alpha)$. Assume that this branch is parametrized by u = u(s)and $\alpha = \alpha(s)$ and that s = 0 corresponds to either a quadratic limit point w.r.t. α or a simple branching point of (3.7). Ee will construct a **regular test-function** $\Psi(s)$ to detect each bifurcation, i.e. a smooth scalar function satisfying

$$\Psi(0) = 0, \ \Psi(0) \neq 0.$$

30

or

4.2.1 Limit point detection

Assume that s = 0 corresponds to a limit point w.r.t. α . We can also select such a parametrization of the equilibrium branch near the limit point by s that the tangent vector at s = 0 will have the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{u}(0)\\ \dot{\alpha}(0) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} q\\ 0 \end{array}\right),$$

with $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$A(0)q = 0, \quad ||q|| = 1,$$

where $A(0) = f_u(u(0), \alpha(0))$. Introduce

$$\Psi_{\rm LP}(s) = g(s),$$

where g(s) is defined by solving the bordered system (4.23). In that system, matrix M(s) is given by (4.22) with $A(s) = f_u(u(s), \alpha(s))$, vector q is defined above, and $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $A^{\mathrm{T}}(0)p = 0$, ||p|| = 1.

Theorem 5 At a quadratic limit point holds

$$\Psi_{\rm LP}(0) = 0$$
 and $\Psi_{\rm LP}(0) \neq 0$.

Proof:

Clearly, $\Psi_{LP}(0) = g(0) = 0$. Using Lemma 10, we obtain

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\langle p, \dot{A}(0)q \rangle = -\langle p, f_{uu}(u(0), \alpha(0))[q, q] \rangle = -\langle p, B(q, q) \rangle.$$

Since $\langle p, B(q,q) \rangle \neq 0$ at a quadratic limit point, $\dot{\Psi}_{LP}(0) = \dot{g}(0) \neq 0$. \Box

4.2.2 Branching point detection

Suppose that s = 0 corresponds to a simple branching point of ALCP (3.7) in the solution branch Γ_1 parametrized by $x^{(1)}(s)$ such that

$$\|\dot{x}^{(1)}(0)\| = 1$$

As in Theorem 3, define the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix

$$D(s) = \begin{pmatrix} F_x(x^{(1)}(s) \\ [\dot{x}^{(1)}(s)]^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and introduce

$$\Psi_{\rm BP}(s) = g(s),$$

where g(s) is still defined by solving the bordered system (4.23) but now

$$M(s) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} D(s) & P\\ Q^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with vectors $Q, P \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ satisfying $D(0)Q = D^{\mathrm{T}}(0)P = 0$ and ||Q|| = ||P|| = 1, so that M(s) is a $(N+2) \times (N+2)$ nonsingular matrix for small |s|.

Theorem 6 At a simple branching point holds

$$\Psi_{\rm BP}(0) = 0$$
 and $\Psi_{\rm BP}(0) \neq 0$.

Proof:

We have already seen in the proof of Theorem 3 that matrix D(0) is singular. Its null-space N(D(0)) is one-dimensional and is spanned by $Q = q^{(2)}$. Thus, g(0) = 0.

The null-space $N(D^{\mathrm{T}}(0))$ is also one-dimensional and spanned by

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

where $J^{\mathrm{T}}\varphi = 0$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$ implying $\|P\| = 1$.

Now, Lemma 10 allows us to write

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\langle P, \dot{D}(0)Q \rangle$$

Since $Q = q^{(2)}$ and $\dot{x}^{(1)}(0) = q^{(1)}$, we have

$$\dot{D}(0)Q = \dot{D}(0)q^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{xx}[\dot{x}^{(1)}(0), q^{(2)}] \\ [\ddot{x}^{(1)}(0)]^{\mathrm{T}}q^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) \\ [\ddot{x}^{(1)}(0)]^{\mathrm{T}}q^{(2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\langle P, \dot{D}(0)q^{(2)} \rangle = \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} B(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) \\ [\ddot{x}^{(1)}(0)]^{\mathrm{T}}q^{(2)} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle = \langle \varphi, B(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) \rangle.$$

This gives

$$\dot{g}(0) = -\langle \varphi, B(q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}) \rangle = -b_{12} \neq 0,$$

because the branching point is simple.