Homoclinic Bifurcations to Equilibria

I. Theory and applications

Alan Champneys University of Bristol

Department of Engineering Mathematics





Outline: Lecture 1

- 1. Introduction: solitary waves & global bifurcations
- 2. Tame and chaotic homoclinic bifurcations to equilibria
 - Shil'nikov's theorems
 - application: excitable systems
- 3. Reversible and Hamiltonian systems
 - hyperbolic cases \Rightarrow one codimension less
 - saddle-centre homoclinics
- 4. Simple strategies for continuation of homoclinic orbits in AUTO-07P.



1. solitary waves.

1834 J Scott-Russell observed barge on aqueduct

... a boat drawn along a narrow channel ... suddenly stopped ... the mass of water in the channel ... accumulated around the prow [and] rolled forward with great velocity, assuming the form of a large solitary elevation, a rounded, smooth heap of water, which continued its course along the channel [at 8 or 9 miles an hour for 1.5 miles] preserving its original feature some thirty feet long and a foot and a half high ...



Explanation: 1870s Bousinesq & Lord Rayleigh, theory of wall of water = 'solitary waves'



The 'soliton'

- 1895 Korteweg & de Vries derived KdV equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + 6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \quad \text{solution speed } c$ $u(x,t) = (-c/2)\operatorname{sech}^2(\sqrt{c}/2)(x-ct)$ sech²(x)
- 1960s Zabusky & Kruskal showed its 'completely' stable

 \Rightarrow New name for particle-like solitary waves; solitons

1970s because KdV equation is integrable (Lax, Gardner, Zakarov ... & the 'Clarkson mafia')



The 'soliton'

- 1895 Korteweg & de Vries derived KdV equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + 6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ solution speed c $u(x,t) = (-c/2)\operatorname{sech}^2(\sqrt{c}/2)(x-ct)$
- 1960s Zabusky & Kruskal showed its 'completely' stable

 \Rightarrow New name for particle-like solitary waves; solitons

- 1970s because KdV equation is *integrable* (Lax, Gardner, Zakarov ... & the 'Clarkson mafia')
- Nb. 'Solitary killer' waves e.g. Tsunami



Optical solitons

Optical fibres; means of transatlantic communication.
 Pulses travel at speed of light c



Fig.8.1. Sketch of an optical fiber with an optical index n(x, y), or a dielectric function $\epsilon(x, y)$ which varies in the transverse section



Fig.8.2. Representation of an optical fiber with different modes of propagation: (1) lowest-order mode; (2) middle-order mode; (3) high-order mode



Optical solitons

Optical fibres; means of transatlantic communication.
 Pulses travel at speed of light c



Fig.8.1. Sketch of an optical fiber with an optical index n(x, y), or a dielectric function $\varepsilon(x,y)$ which varies in the transverse section



Fig.8.2. Representation of an optical fiber with different modes of propagation: (1) lowest-order mode; (2) middle-order mode; (3) high-order mode

Problem: denigration due to dispersion



One idea (Hasegawa & Tappert 1973) use natural Kerr nonlinearity to self-focus the light



 $\Rightarrow \text{Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation}$ $i\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + Q|v|^2 v = 0$ also integrable & explicit 'envelope of waves' soliton $v(x,t) = V_m e^{ikt} \operatorname{sech}(V_m \sqrt{|Q|/2}U(x-ct))$



Use solitons as bits of information





. . . **but**

- KdV and NLS are leading-order approximations: 'Most' (probability 1) nonlinear wave equations NOT integrable
- can exist a 'zoo of solitary waves':

$$u(x,t) = U(x-ct) \Rightarrow \text{ ODE for } U(\xi)$$



Fig. 1. Do these 'animals' belong to the same soliton family? (the drawing made by Marc Haelterman in 1989

How big is the zoo? their dynamics? (not these lectures)



Another motivation: global bifurcation

Ed Lorenz 1963 discovered chaos in simple system

$$\dot{x} = \sigma(y - x)$$

$$\dot{y} = x(\rho - z) - y$$

$$\dot{z} = xy - \beta z$$

 \checkmark $\sigma=10,\,\beta=8/3,\,\rho$ increasing

homoclinic bifurcation triggers chaos at $\rho \approx 24$ (Sparrow 1982)



Application: Chua's electronic circuit

smooth version (due to A. Khibnik 93)

$$\dot{U} = \alpha (V - (1/6)(U - U^3))$$

$$\dot{V} = U - V + W$$

$$\dot{W} = -\beta V$$

- $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$: Z_2 -symmetric Takens Bogdanov point at 0: $\sigma(0) = \{0, 0, -\lambda\} \Rightarrow$ tame homoclinic bifurcation.
- Large enough α , β 'double scroll' chaotic attractor





tame and chaotic homoclinic orbits



- **1.** at $(\alpha, \beta) = (1.13515, 1.07379)$, neutral saddle;
- **2.** at $(\alpha, \beta) = (1.20245, 1.14678)$, double real leading eigenvalue (with respect to stable eigenspace, which is non-determining);
- **4.** at (α, β) = (1.74917, 1.76178), neutral saddle-focus;
 δ = 1 ⇒ transition to chaotic bifurcation
- **5**. at $(\alpha, \beta) = (6.00000, 7.191375)$, neutrally-divergent saddle-focus $\delta = 1/2$

 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{div} Df(0)x < 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{attracting}$ (strange attractor)

Later we will study a similar simple electronic circuit due to Friere *et al* 1993



. . .

2. Homoclinic orbits to equilibria

• Heteroclinic orbit Γ connecting equilibria $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), \alpha)$$

$$x(t) \rightarrow x_1, x_2 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm \infty.$$

'generic' system: no symmetry or first integrals

• Homoclinic orbit special case $x_1 = x_2 = x_0$ x_0 hyperbolic \Rightarrow codim 1, i.e. at isolated $\alpha = \alpha_0$





Homoclinic bifurcation as α varies

Suppose \exists Hom orbit Γ at $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Linearisation at x_0 :



Theorem 1 (Shil'nikov's tame homoclinic bifurcation)

Real determining eigenvalue \Rightarrow *unique periodic orbit destroyed at infinite period as* $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$.

Theorem 2 (Shil'nikov's chaotic homoclinic bifurcation) Complex determining eigenvalue $\Rightarrow \infty$ -many high-period periodic orbits in neighbourhood of Γ and α_0 .



Exercise

Proof of tame homoclinic bifurcation in 2D system using Poincaré maps. Consider

$$\dot{x} = \lambda x + \text{nonlinear}$$

 $\dot{y} = -\mu y + \text{nonlinear}$

Make assumption that at parameter value $\alpha = 0$, there is a homoclinic orbit that connects this equilibrium to itself.



In chaotic case $\exists \infty$ -many *N*-pulse homoclinic orbits at nearby α -values. For each *N*



e.g. 2-pulse homoclinic orbits Gaspard

niversity of

more recently: Turaev, Sandstede 00 see (Shil'nikov et al 1992, 1998)

Theorem 3 (Homoclinic 'centre manifold' theorem) There exists a C^0 manifold of dimension of the leading eigenspace in the neighbourhood of Γ that captures all ______ nearby recurrent dynamics.

Sketch proof of Shil'nikov chaotic case

saddle focus case in \mathbb{R}^3 (Glendinning & Sparrow 84)

- assume $\exists \alpha_0 = 0$ at which hom orbit Γ to $x_0 = 0$ WLOG
- $\sigma(0) = \{-\mu \pm i\omega, \lambda\}$ (WLOG reverse time if nec.)
- Construct Poincaré map close to Γ in α and x
- Fixed points \Rightarrow periodic orbits



construct Poincaré map



Step 1: Set up Poincaré sections

$$\Sigma^{in} = \{\theta = 0\}, \qquad \Sigma^{out} = \{z = h\}$$





Step 2 Linearise flow near 0 to compute $\Pi_{loc}: \Sigma^{in} \to \Sigma^{out}$

$$\dot{z} = \lambda z$$

 $\dot{\theta} = \omega + h.o.t$
 $\dot{r} = -\mu r$





Step 3 $z(T) = z_0 e^{\lambda T}$, $r(T) = r_0 e^{-\mu T}$, $\theta(T) = \theta_0 + \omega t$ 'time of flight' $T = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{z_0}{h}\right)$. $\delta = \mu/\lambda < 1$ for chaotic case

1

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Pi_{loc} : (r, \theta, z) \mapsto \left(r \left(\frac{r}{h} \right)^{\delta}, \frac{\omega}{\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{h}{z_0} \right), h \right)$$





Step 4:

Computation of $\Pi_{glob} : \Sigma^{out} \to \Sigma^{in}$ Assume diffeomorphism; expand as Taylor series

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ \theta \\ h \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \overline{r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix} \alpha + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \\ e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \theta \\ 0 \\ r \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} + h.o.t$$

University of BRISTOL

MRI Master Class Utrecht - p. 20



Step 5 Poincaré map $\Pi : \Sigma^{in} \to \Sigma^{in} = \Pi_{glob} \circ \Pi_{loc}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \overline{r} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \alpha + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 r z^{\delta} \cos(k_1 \ln z + \phi_1) \\ c_2 r z^{\delta} \cos(k_2 \ln z + \phi_2) \end{pmatrix}$$



- \checkmark search for fixed points: *r*-dynamics slaved to *z*
- ⇒ 1D map for z (nb. period $\sim -\ln z$)

$$(z - b\alpha) = \Phi(z) = Kz^{\delta}\cos(k\ln z + \phi) + h.o.t$$



- \checkmark search for fixed points: *r*-dynamics slaved to *z*
- ⇒ 1D map for z (nb. period $\sim -\ln z$)

$$(z - b\alpha) = \Phi(z) = Kz^{\delta}\cos(k\ln z + \phi) + h.o.t$$

• $\delta > 1$ (tame case)



unique periodic orbit bifurcates



- \checkmark search for fixed points: *r*-dynamics slaved to *z*
- ⇒ 1D map for z (nb. period $\sim -\ln z$)

$$(z - b\alpha) = \Phi(z) = Kz^{\delta}\cos(k\ln z + \phi) + h.o.t$$

• $\delta < 1$ (chaotic case)



infinitely many periodic orbits for $\alpha = 0$



- \checkmark search for fixed points: *r*-dynamics slaved to *z*
- ⇒ 1D map for z (nb. period $\sim -\ln z$)

$$(z - b\alpha) = \Phi(z) = Kz^{\delta}\cos(k\ln z + \phi) + h.o.t$$

• $\delta < 1$ (chaotic case)



 ∞ -many saddle-node & period-doubling as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$



- \checkmark search for fixed points: *r*-dynamics slaved to *z*
- ⇒ 1D map for z (nb. period $\sim -\ln z$)

$$(z - b\alpha) = \Phi(z) = Kz^{\delta}\cos(k\ln z + \phi) + h.o.t$$

• $\delta < 1$ (chaotic case)



single 'wiggly curve' of periodic orbits. Also symbolic dynamics on ∞ -many symbols



Multi-pulses

Infinitely many parameter values $\alpha_i^{(2)}$, $i = 1, ..., \infty$, converging as $\alpha \to \alpha_0$ from both sides at which there exist 2-pulse homoclinic orbits.



 \ldots and *N*-pulses for all *N* \ldots



A word about rigour

Linearisation to compute Π_{loc} cannot be rigorously justified in general. Hartman-Grobman Theorem gives only C^0 topological

equivalence

Three rigorous approaches:

• Sometimes (non-resonance) can justify linearisation C^1 linearisation theorems (e.g. Belitskii)



A word about rigour

Linearisation to compute Π_{loc} cannot be rigorously justified in general. Hartman-Grobman Theorem gives only C^0 topological

equivalence

Three rigorous approaches:

- Sometimes (non-resonance) can justify linearisation C^1 linearisation theorems (e.g. Belitskii)
- Set up II_{loc} as BVP. Use Imp. Fun. Theorem.
 Shil'nikov co-ordinates (see Shil'nikov et al 92, 98)



A word about rigour

Linearisation to compute Π_{loc} cannot be rigorously justified in general. Hartman-Grobman Theorem gives only C^0 topological equivalence

Three rigorous approaches:

- Sometimes (non-resonance) can justify linearisation C^1 linearisation theorems (e.g. Belitskii)
- Set up II_{loc} as BVP. Use Imp. Fun. Theorem.
 Shil'nikov co-ordinates (see Shil'nikov et al 92, 98)
- Use normal vector to homoclinic centre manifold (adjoint) to project
 Lin's method or HLS: 'Homoclinic Lyanpunov-Schmidt' 'Hale, Lin, Sandstede' (Lin 2008)



Application: excitable systems

Small input \Rightarrow gradual relaxation
 Large enough \Rightarrow burst + gradual relaxation



Application: excitable systems

- Small input \Rightarrow gradual relaxation
 Large enough \Rightarrow burst + gradual relaxation
- A key feature of many electrophysical systems
 - heart tissue
 - neurons
 - cell signalling (calcium dynamics)



Application: excitable systems

- Small input \Rightarrow gradual relaxation
 Large enough \Rightarrow burst + gradual relaxation
- A key feature of many electrophysical systems
 - heart tissue
 - neurons
 - cell signalling (calcium dynamics)
- the 'pendulum equation' of excitable systems: Fitz-Hugh Nagumo (FHN) system (1961-2)

$$v_t = Dv_{xx} + f_{\alpha}(v) - w + c$$

$$w_t = \varepsilon(v - \gamma w)$$

 $f_{\alpha}(v) = v(v-1)(\alpha - v)$, e.g. $\alpha = 0.1$, $\gamma = 1.0$, $\varepsilon = 0.001$.



example FitzHugh Nagumo equations

A. spatially homogeneous (D = 0) dynamics

$$v_t = f_{\alpha}(v) - w + p$$

$$w_t = \varepsilon(v - \gamma w)$$







B. travelling structures (for D > 0) z = x + st ($\cdot = d/dz$)

$$\ddot{v} - s\dot{v} = -f_{\alpha}(v) + w - p$$

 $\dot{w} = (\varepsilon/s)(v - \gamma w)$

node \rightarrow saddle: 2 kinds of travelling wave: periodic wave trains \Leftarrow Hopf bifurcation pulse solution \Leftarrow homoclinic orbit to saddle





This *C U* structure common for excitable models

But how does the Hom curve end as it approaches Hopf? More details: (p vs. wavespeed s)



(see Champneys, Kirk et al 07)

The hom curve doubles back on itself(!) and gains an extra large loop in so doing (p vs. 'norm')



EVALUATE: In the module of the second sec

example 2: 8-variable Ca²⁺ model

Sneyd, Yule et al Calcium waves in pancreatic acinar cell

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} + k_1(G)(c - c_e) + J_1(c, G)$$

$$\frac{dc_e}{dt} = -k_2(G)(c_e - c) + J_2(c, G)$$

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = k_3(p, c)G$$

c(x,t) concentration of Ca²⁺. $c_e(t)$ concentration in boundary. $G(t) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ receptor variables.

- Travelling waves $\xi = x st \Rightarrow 9D \text{ ODE system}$
- **•** Bif. pars: wavespeed s, IP₃ concentration p





at upper end: Hom curve passes straight through Hopf!?



And at lower end, the homoclinic curve doubles back on itself ∞ many times.





4. Reversible and Hamiltonian systems

Reversible systems

 $\dot{x} = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, Rf(x) = -f(Rx), R^2 = \mathsf{Id}, \mathcal{S} = \mathsf{fix}(R) \cong \mathbb{R}^n.$

 \Rightarrow symmetric homoclinic orbits are codim 0 (Devaney)

 $\gamma(t) \to x_0 \operatorname{as} t \to \pm \infty, \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \operatorname{where} f(x_0) = 0, x_0 \in \mathcal{S}.$

Hamiltonian systems

 $\dot{x} = f(x) = J \nabla H(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \qquad \Rightarrow H(x(t)) = \text{const.}$

 $\Rightarrow W^u$ & W^s live in $H^{-1}(x_0) \Rightarrow$ codim 0

• In either case, $\sigma(x_0)$ symmetric w.r.t. Im-axis

Everything happens with one codimension less

a fourth-order example





Application 1: wobbly bridges

Goldern gate bridge 1938, Chief Engineer R.G. Cone

a wind of unusual high velocity was blowing ... normal to the axis of the bridge ... The suspended structure of the bridge was undulating vertically in a wave-like motion of considerable amplitude, ... a running wave similar to that made by cracking a whip. The truss would be quiet for a second, and then in the distance one could see a running wave of several nodes approaching ...

- C. & McKenna 96 asymmetric beam model
- Stable multi-humped solitary waves (Buryak & C 96)





Nb. R.G. Cone was sacked for 'disloyalty to the bridge'



100

50

0.5 0 -0.5

0.5 -1 -1.5 -2 --100

-50

0 50

100 150

200 250

50



-10

-505

50

100

150

... add competing nonlinearity

(Woods & C. 99)

$$u_{xxxx} + Pu_{xx} + u - u^2 + bu^3 = 0$$





a small amplitude limit





● For $P \approx 2$, $b \approx (38/27)$ normal form theory



But misses beyond-all-orders effects.



a related problem

Similar results for

$$u_{xxxx} + Pu_{xx} + u - \alpha u^3 + u^5 = 0$$

 $\alpha = 3/10$ (Hunt et al 00)



See also Burke & Knobloch 07



spatial dynamics explanation of snake

Woods & C. 99 (cf. Coullet et al 2000)

Hamiltonian case. Take 2D Poincaré section within $\{H = 0\}$:



cf. Poincaré "heteroclinic tangle"





See Burke & Knobloch 06, Beck et al 09 for application to localised patterns in Swift-Hohenberg eqns. (snakes and ladders!)



4. Computing homoclinic/heteroclinics

- 3 simple special cases approaches in AUTO
 - compute a periodic orbit to large period
 - case of 1D unstable manifold
 - reversible case

Next lecture: HomCont — general AUTO-07P method for computing homoclinic orbits & detecting codimension-two points



Computing large-period periodic orbits

 AUTO solves for periodic orbits via boundary-value problem

$$\dot{x} = Tf(x, \alpha), \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad x(0) \int_0^1 (u(t)^T \dot{\tilde{u}}) dt$$

for x(t) in \mathbb{R}^n , parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Homoclinic bifurcation $\Rightarrow T \rightarrow \infty$
- To compute homoclinic; fix T (large), solve for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$.
- Can show not the optimal choice (see next lecture)
- this afternoon: AUTO demo pp2.



1D unstable manifold homoclinics case

Suppose $A = Df(x_0, 0)$ has $n_s = 1$ unstable eigenvalue λ (and $n_u = n - 1$ stable eigs)

• Let
$$Av_1 = \lambda v_1$$
, $A^T w_1 = \lambda w_1$.

Compute boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = 2Tf(x, \alpha)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 + \varepsilon v_1$$

$$0 = w_1^T(x(1) - x_0)$$

- can show convergence as $2T \rightarrow \infty$ (see next lecture)
- ⇒ continuation problem with n + 1 boundary conditions for n + 2 unknowns $x(t) \alpha_1$, α_2 .



Reversible case

Consider reversible homoclinic x(t)

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad x(0) \in \mathsf{fix}(R), \qquad x(\pm \infty) \to x_0$$

Truncate to [-T, 0] and solve the two-point BVP with n B.C.s:

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \alpha)$$
$$L_u x(-T) - x_0 = 0$$
$$D \mathsf{fix}(R)^{\perp} x(0) = 0$$

where L_u is projection onto unstable eigenspace of A (using stable eigenspace of A^T

e.g. for 4^{th} -order example x = (u, u', u'', u'''), fix R = (u, 0, u'', 0). D fix $(R)^{\perp} = (0, 1, 0, 1)$.

What we have learnt so far:

- Homoclinic orbits to equilibria can be tame or chaotic
- Chaotic case leads to birth of multi-pulses
- Everything depends on linearisation (+ twistedness see next lecture)
- Topological ideas can be posed rigorously analytically
- Hamiltonian (and reversible) case drops a co-dimension
- Many applications



References

- Beck, M., Knobloch, J., Lloyd, D., Sandstede B. and Wagenknecht, T. Snakes, ladders and isolas of localized patterns, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (2009) appeared online
- Burke, J. and Knobloch, E. Localized states in the generalized Swift-Hohenberg equation, *Phys. Rev. E*, **73**, (2006) 056211
- Burke, J. and Knobloch, E. Snakes and ladders: Localized states in the Swift-Hohenberg equation *Phys. Lett. A*, **360** (2007) 681-688.
- Freire, E. Rodriguez-Luis, A.J., Gamero E. and Ponce E. A case study for homoclinic chaos in an autonomous electronic circuit *Physica D* 62 (1993) 230-253.
- Glendinning, P. and Sparrow, C. Local and Global Behaviour Near Homoclinic Orbits J. Stat. Phys. 35 (1984) 645-696.
- Hunt, GW; Peletier, MA; Champneys, AR, et al. Cellular buckling in long structures, Nonlinear Dynamics 21 (2000) 3-29.
- Lin, X.B. Lin's method Scholarpedia, 3 (2008) 6972.
 www.scholarpedia.org/article/Lin's_method
 - Sparrow, C. The Lorenz Equations: Bifurcations, Chaos and Strange Attractors. Springer-Verlag, (1982)

Shilnikov, L.P., Shilnikov A.L., Turaev, D.V. and Chua, L.O. *Methods of qualitative*